1	
2	
3	THURS
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Board Members Michael Weymout
9	Joe Holland, Vi John Barranco
10	Joe Crognale Pat Hale
11	Thornie Jarrett Don Larson
12	John Phillips [B. George Walke
13	D. George warke
14	
15	<u>City Staff</u> Lori Grossfeld, B

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE UNSAFE STRUCTURES BOARD THURSDAY, AUGUST 21, 2014 AT 3:00 P.M. 1ST FLOOR COMMISSION CHAMBERS CITY HALL

Cumulative Attendance 10/13 through 9/14

Board Members	Attendance	Present	Absent
Michael Weymouth, Chair	P	10	0
Joe Holland, Vice Chair	P	7	3
John Barranco	A	6	4
Joe Crognale	P	10	0
Pat Hale	P	10	0
Thornie Jarrett	· P	10	0
Don Larson	P	9	1
John Phillips [3:12-5:20]	P	6	4
B. George Walker	A	5	5

Grossfeld, Board Secretary Shani Allman, Clerk III 16 II Jeri Pryor, Code Enforcement Supervisor/Clerk Porshia Goldwire, Administrative Aide 17 Olivia Vargas, Clerk III Rhonda Hasan, Assistant City Attorney 18 George Oliva, Building Inspector Alex Hernandez, Interim Building Official 19 Robert Masula, Building Inspector Det. Paul Maniates 20 Sharon Ragoonan, Code Compliance Manager Commissioner Bruce Roberts 21

22

23

Communication to the City Commission

Jamie Opperlee, ProtoType Inc. Recording Clerk

None

24

25

Witnesses and Respondents

CE14070115: Mary Ann Kerr, owner; Robert Fox, potential buyer; Arthur Kaufman, potential buyer

CE13080978: John Holmes, HOA president; John Hopkins, attorney CE14061544: Charlie Winningham, neighbor; John Winningham, architect; Frank Abdo, neighbor; Thomas McCormack, neighbor; Stanley Keber, neighbor; Bradford Fitzgerald, owner; Martha Luzinski, neighbor; Shelley Pumphrey, neighbor; Florence Copelin, neighbor; Gary Clemons, neighbor; Joe Sanchez, neighbor

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

8	Index		
9	Case Number	Respondent	Page
10			
10	1. CE13080978	COHEN, LAWRENCE E & BARBARA F	3
11		3316 NE 38 ST	
	Disposition:	The Board found the violations existed	
12		as cited and granted 15 days for the	
1 2		owner to demolish the dock or the City	
13		will do so. Board approved 7-0.	
14			
	2. CE14061544	FITZGERALD, BRADFORD W &	22
15		FITZGERALD, ROSLYN	
		4404 NE 23 AVE	
16	Disposition:	The Board found the violations existed	
17		as cited and granted the owner 10 days	
17	'	to repair and secure the pool and public safety issues and 20 days to obtain an	
18		engineer's report on the roof or the	
		City will do so. Board approved 7-0.	
19		ore, will do be. Beard approved , e.	
	3. CE14070115	KERR, MARY ANNE KERR, LOIS	70
20		201 SW 11 CT	
21	Disposition:	The Board found the violations existed	
	-	as cited and granted a 28-day extension.	
22		Board approved 7-0.	
23	4. CE14060446	SAUTERNES V LLC	135
		1213 W LAS OLAS BLVD	
24	Disposition:	The Board found the violations existed	
25		as cited and granted the owner 30 days	
ر ک		to demolish the proporty or the City	

to demolish the property or the

Board approved 6-0.

will do so.

1	
2	
3	
4	

5

7

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

5. CE14061367		
5. CE14061367	FAR EAST YARD PARTNERS LLC	138
	1515 SW 20 ST	
Disposition:	Withdrawn	
	, and the second	
	Other Items and Announcements	<u>138</u>
	Communication to the City Commission	138
	For the Good of the City	138

The regular meeting of the Unsafe Structures Board convened at 3:00 p.m. in the 1st Floor Commission Chambers, City Hall, 100 North Andrews Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida.

All individuals giving testimony before the Board were sworn in.

Approval of meeting minutes

Motion made by Mr. Larson, seconded by Mr. Holland, to approve the minutes of the Board's July 2014 meeting. a voice vote, motion passed unanimously.

Cases

1. Case: CE13080978

INDEX

COHEN, LAWRENCE E & BARBARA F 3316 NE 38 ST

MS. PRYOR: The first case is going to be on page one on your agenda. Case number CE13080978, Inspector Robert Masula, case address 3316 Northeast 38 Street, the owner is Lawrence and Barbara Cohen.

The notice of violation posted on the property 7/30/14, advertised in the Daily Business Review 8/1/14 and 8/8/14. The certified notices were sent by mail and you have them posted on your agenda.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Good afternoon Inspector
INSPECTOR MASULA: Good afternoon Board. Pleasure
to be here with you this afternoon. Robert Masula, Building
Inspector for the City. I'm presenting case number
CE13080978 for the property located at 3316 Northeast 38
Street. The following pictures were provided to me; I would
like to submit them into evidence for the record.

[Inspector Masula displayed photos of the property]

This case was originally started on August 14, 2013

for a dock that was built without permits. This dock also

has electrical installed and attached to it, which this

electrical work was also done without the required permits

and is deemed to be unsafe. This dock is in disrepair; there

are parts of the dock that have broken free and are hanging

loose. The electrical has also broken free and is also

hanging loose.

You'll see in some of the pictures, some of the stringers and the electrical conduit hanging. This dock and the electrical poses a threat; it is a hazard to the neighboring properties and the community. In the event of a storm with high winds the dock material could become flying

debris. 1 It could also cause damage to boats in the waterway 2 area. 3 We are asking for the Board to find for the City 4 this dock is in disrepair, it has become unsafe and to order 5 the property owner to have it demolished within thirty days. 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any questions for the Inspector 8 before we hear from the respondent? 9 MR. LARSON: I have one. Inspector, do you know if 10 any of the dock has fallen into the canal? 11 INSPECTOR MASULA: Sir, I can't give you a straight 12 yes or no on that. I would imagine, the way it has broken 13 off, at some point I would imagine some of the decking 14 probably has fallen into the water. 15 MR. LARSON: Okay. I just wondered, you know that 16 -- thank you. 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is there a respondent for this 18 case that would like to be heard on this? 19 MR. HOPKINS: Yes. 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Step up please, introduce yourself 21 sir.

MR. HOPKINS: Yes, this is, I'm John Hopkins here, for the respondent. I've spoken to, I've been to the property and spoken to my clients about hiring a contractor to -- actually, because they don't have a boat and they did

22

23

24

25

not install this dock, it was there before they even bought the property. I have talked to them about basically hiring a contractor to remove the pieces that are hanging there. They don't need a dock; they don't have a boat. And so that's what we're in the process of doing.

They had a problem going all the way back to the hurricane in 2005 with a lot of this property and they lost their business from a financial standpoint in the recession in 2008 and such. So I am on it, I have talked to them and they're agreeing to get a contractor to remove the dock.

I guess it could be repaired I guess it becomes a function of what's, what the Board really wants. I guess maybe, we don't have the estimates yet on what is cheaper to repair or to remove it entirely.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. HOPKINS: I would, have you [speaking to Inspector Masula] spoken to the respondents at all? I don't know if the Inspector's spoken to them.

INSPECTOR MASULA: I've had no contact from the property owner.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Mr. Hopkins one of the Board members has a question for you. Or, at least one of them does.

MR. HOPKINS: Sure.

MR. JARRETT: So therefore, you're here to ask for

1 an extension, is that what you're asking for? 2 MR. HOPKINS: Yes, a little bit of time because I 3 just got this notice. It was just noticed to me as I was 4 walking in you, and started the hearing. End of July, it was 5 sent out on July 16. I got after July 23 postmark. 6 MR. JARRETT: Well, you can see by the pictures 7 there's not much question about it's unsafe. 8 MR. HOPKINS: No issue. 9 MR. JARRETT: We can't find anything else but 10 unsafe. 11 MR. HOPKINS: Agreed. 12 MR. JARRETT: We could give you a thirty-day extension, if that's what you're asking for. 13 14 MR. HOPKINS: Sure. I --15 MR. JARRETT: Now, you realize in order for that to 16 be of any benefit to you your client would have to obtain the 17 services of a contractor, an engineer --18 MR. HOPKINS: Agreed, agreed. 19 MR. JARRETT: -- and have to have a building permit 20 application on file before our next Board meeting. 21 MR. HOPKINS: And when is your next Board meeting? 22 MR. JARRETT: Otherwise, we're going to order 23 demolition. 24 MR. HOPKINS: And I think if --25 MR. JARRETT: If the Board decides to do this.

1 MR. HOPKINS: And my understanding is from your side, that's going to get costly. If you've got to order 2 3 demolition, we're talking about fines, we're talking about 4 penalties, we're talking about your group coming in and doing 5 something. It's going to be much more cost-effective if we do it. 6 7 MR. JARRETT: Absolutely correct. He, you can hire that demo contractor much less than what you're going to pay 8 9 for it. 10 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: The next Unsafe Structures Board 11 meeting is September 18, 2014. 12 MR. HOPKINS: September 18? 13 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes sir. Any other questions for 1.4 the respondent? I will offer an observation, especially 15 knowing that there is potentially a storm out there forty-16 eight hours away. I personally, the way I look at this is 17 that we need to make this safe. The way to make it safe is 18 to get rid of the existing dock --19 MR. HOPKINS: Agreed. 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: -- and terminate the electric. 21 Not necessarily the pilings --22 MR. HOPKINS: Correct. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: -- because I don't think they're, I

think they're anchored into the river. But me personally, I

would rule in favor of a demolition, giving you guys the

24

25

option to do it in thirty days and if you don't, the City'll do it. To get a demo permit for this, I think you could walk it through. I think you could have this pretty quickly. Correct me if I'm wrong Inspector, or I don't know if we have somebody else here from the Building Department. But to get the demolition permit for this I think could be gotten very quickly.

And so, I would tell you that I'm not really in favor of giving you thirty more days because then if they don't do what they're supposed to do then we do order a demolition and now it goes out yet another thirty days.

MR. HOPKINS: Well, I would offer this to you. If we get into a problem with a contractor and scheduling and all these things and we've done everything we can do -- let's say we've gone in, we've got the demo permit but from a scheduling standpoint they can get people in there or they can't get a, maybe a barge in there needs to do something -- it's not exactly done in thirty days, then we're doing what we're supposed to do but we're kind of being penalized. So that's all I'm asking for from you.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, understand that we're here on behalf of, not necessarily just this property owner but all of his neighbors.

MR. HOPKINS: Right.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And if that storm happens to come

up next week and there is eighty or ninety mile an hour winds
and the unsecured timbers go flying through a neighbor's
window -- I hear what you're saying but understand the
difficult position that we're in.

MR. HOPKINS: But, and I appreciate that but I

MR. HOPKINS: But, and I appreciate that but I think -- go ahead, go ahead.

INSPECTOR MASULA: Excuse me sir, if I could just add something. Technically this dock, the electrical work was installed without a permit. It does not need a demo permit to be removed.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: But what about this, what about the wood structure, what about the timbers that are there?

INSPECTOR MASULA: No sir, they would not need a demo permit.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

INSPECTOR MASULA: So we would allow them to completely remove it, we would make a follow-up site visit to confirm it was removed and then comply the violation.

MR. HOPKINS: Okay.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So literally, they could have somebody go out there tomorrow and demo it. Not necessarily hire a contractor to replace it.

MR. HOPKINS: Well, get somebody scheduled. But to address your potential hurricane thing in the next five days, I just got back in town so I don't know what's out there but,

so I'd say to meet that in the next five days or three days or whatever with a weekend coming up, wow. We'll do everything we can. I'll advise my clients to get it done and get it out of there and you don't need a permit to get somebody hired right away and to get this done and finished with as soon as possible.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I think ideally it would be to get it out tomorrow would be nice. Alls I'm saying is, my personal opinion, if it comes to a vote of giving you an extension I'm going to vote no because that just prolongs it if they don't, if if if, if they don't get any of this, then it goes out sixty days and --

MR. HOPKINS: Well, and I appreciate that I guess. Maybe what I'm asking for is let's have it done with, have it finished and done in the next thirty days, I guess, instead of an extension because I don't know how I can get it done five days.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: The order that we would give would give you that leeway. You would have thirty days to demolish it or make it safe, otherwise the City will. That's the way it's written.

MR. HOPKINS: And I guess that now that I've been told I don't have to have a permit, I don't have to come in and do the permitting process and all that I guess that's --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You don't need a permit to

1 dismantle it. You would need a permit to replace the dock. 2 MR. HOPKINS: Got that. And I don't think, they don't have a boat and I think, like you say we need to make 3 4 it safe and get out of there --5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 6 MR. HOPKINS: -- so the other neighbors aren't hassled. 7 8 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Any other. 9 MR. HOLLAND: Yes, just for knowledge, there's an 10 assumption by County law that it's unsafe because it wasn't 11 permitted when it's installed. So those piers, they're not 12 long enough or deep enough or could very well be 13 inappropriate for any future plans. So those going along with the rest of it is probably a good thing. 14 15 MR. HOPKINS: I guess, one more question on this 16 thing, because I know what they're going to talk to me about, 17 you know, the most economical way to do this. So, I guess 18 the question could be if it's more economical to just make it 19 somewhat safe rather than tearing the whole thing out. 20 MR. HOLLAND: He raises a good point. That loose 21 decking is something that could come out with man labor --22 MR. HOPKINS: Sure. 23 MR. HOLLAND: -- at not a great expense. 24 MR. HOPKINS: Right, right. 25

MR. HOLLAND: And get rid of the wind-blown threat.

I think that piers are not as bad but for navigation, if they start going over because they're unbraced, [inaudible] problems.

MR. HOPKINS: But nobody's -
CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's something that I think is

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's something that I think is up for the owner to discern or decide. I think we need to make a decision --

MR. HOLLAND: You raise a good point, Mike I'm kind of not sure that I'd want people wailing away with demolition in the canals without a permit but if that's the case, things should be done properly even more so in navigable waterways in my opinion but if they don't need a permit just -- I can imagine the decking can come out but maybe as far as a barge and things like that --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: For pulling --

Mr. Phillips arrived at 3:12]

MR. HOPKINS: Because the way I'm thinking about it now, to work as fast as you want the work done, to get a wood guy out there to get loose impediments, get loose stuff out of there, to get the electrical tied down, to get it to it's at least safe in the next couple weeks, I think that's what we would all agree should be done immediately.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That is the concern of this Board, yes.

MR. HOPKINS: Okay. So, I'm not necessarily going

to say we're going to tear it out because I'm now thinking that this can be done a lot faster and a lot cheaper and a lot more economical to satisfy you guys to get somebody out there and get stuff pounded down and get it nailed down and get it at least to get through the hurricane season here in the next thirty days.

MR. HOLLAND: Oooo, I don't think I quite like that.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let's not determine what the remedy is. Obviously, I think he understands what we're looking for and it's not us you have to satisfy, it's the City, the Building Department.

MS. HASAN: Mr. Chair, if I could?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes ma'am.

MS. HASAN: Thank you. For purposes of the record Rhonda Montoya Hasan with the City Attorney's office. This violation is from 2013. The Building Official and myself actually went out with Marine Patrol and viewed the dock in July of 2014. Sorry, I thought everyone could hear me anyways. It is extremely deteriorated, it continues to deteriorate since I joined the City in May of this year.

The City's position is that the dock, the structure should be demolished, the electrical elements should be capped off and again, as the Inspector said, the whole installation was done without permits so it cannot be

repaired as such, it would have to be a new permit with all the engineering aspects of that, go through all of the regulatory reviews, Army Corps of Engineers, the State, the County and the City.

This property is also in foreclosure currently as well. So again it's the City's great concern that if and when that foreclosure does take place and the occupants are, or leave the property that it's going to sit further. So it's the City's position that it should be demolished within thirty days, and failing that the City will step in and handle that demolition.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Very good.

MR. CROGNALE: Mike, comment?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Joe?

MR. CROGNALE: If my observation's correct and what Mr. Masula says that it doesn't require a permit to demolish it, this looks like it's just a one-day job, just a little bit of horsepower to it and one day it's out of there. So it's not a complicated thing from my vision.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Any other questions or comments? Thornie, I mean --

MR. LARSON: Joe, I agree with you it's a one-day deal to get that thing done; it's not a big issue. With my background experience you can do that in eight hours or less, probably six if you've got the right equipment. And I'm not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to vote for anything to extension this thing because it's been going on too long.

> CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. LARSON: And it needs to come out of there and the quicker you can do it the better off, you can save yourself problems down the road. You can hire somebody to come in and three or four guys will tear that out real quick, make it safe. I'm not concerned about the pilings that are out in the -- the dolphins that are out there; those will probably stand through any hurricane. But if you can get them out, fine, if you can't, I'd settle for the rest.

MR. HOPSKINS: Okay.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, any other --

MR. HOLLAND: Anybody from the public?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, I don't know, I was going to go on, before I wanted to release this respondent so, is there anybody else that wishes to be heard on this matter? Sir, if you'd step up to the podium, introduce yourself please?

MR. HOLMES: I'm John Holmes, I'm president of Bermuda Riviera Association. I want to state up front that I'm totally against any extension on removing this dock. It is a marine hazard. There are two million dollars' worth of boats in that canal. This gentleman named Steve Tate across the street that is fifty yards away from this dock who has an eighty-five thousand dollar boat who lives in fear. I'm a boater, I live a canal away. I went by the dock yesterday, I observed boards in the water. I have also been told by other homeowners that boards have fallen in.

1.0

I'm sorry to be dramatic, the guy for years has had a mooring whip supporting the dock tied to his rail on his pool. I mean really! And I further state that it's a marine hazard and we have two hundred waterfront homes in our community -- Ally's here. We're a two hundred fifty-two waterfront community on Galt Ocean Mile, Bermuda Riviera. We have two hundred waterfront homes. It's my responsibility as the board president to protect my members' properties.

And this property is just been wasting away since 2005 hurricane Wilma remember that, October? That's when this whole thing happened. The man doesn't own a boat, it's never, I've never seen a boat there and again as I repeat, I go by in my boat probably once a week and I look at it and I take pictures.

I'm also familiar with dock construction, happen to be on the Marine Advisory Board. And it is just a simple rip-out like you said. It's four hours. Just get rid of the two-bys. Leave the pilings there. That's up to you guys and that's up to the City. But the two-bys are what falls in the canal, that hurts our boats, that hurts boats on the Intracoastal, that ends up in places on the Intracoastal

1 during marine pickup. So, I am totally against extending any extension. This is a four-hour job. It's just a rip-out. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Holmes? 3 MR. HOLMES: Yes. 4 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Do you know if the Cohens live 6 there? Is the property vacant? 7 MR. HOLMES: No, they live there. 8 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 9 MR. HOLMES: But no one can contact them; they 10 evade people and I'm not saying that in a malicious way. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Understood. 12 MR. HOLMES: But I've tried to talk to them many 13 times, the door's unanswered. I've tried to be a friendly 14 board president. But I've got this poor guy that lives 15 across the canal from him, fifty yards away that has this 16 beautiful boat that is just scared to death. I mean, okay, 17 it's dramatic that there's a hurricane coming in in maybe two 18 weeks. How many false scares have we had about that? I've 19 been living with this since 2005. This dock is just falling 20 apart. 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Right. 22 MR. HOLMES: And the guy doesn't need the dock; he 23 doesn't have a boat! 24 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. HOLMES: I just don't understand that. Anyway,

25

1 I'm sorry. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: It's alright. 3 MR. HOLMES: So, any questions for me? MR. CROGNALE: Yes, I have one question. 4 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Go ahead Joe. 6 MR. CROGNALE: Yes, I have a question. The only 7 issue before this Board is the dock. The disrepair of the 8 property is immaterial or irrelevant. 9 MR. HOLMES: Oh, I agree. 10 MR. CROGNALE: That's irrelevant. 11 MR. HOLMES: Understood. 12 MR. CROGNALE: I don't believe this Board has the 13 mechanism -- correct me if I'm wrong, legal -- to immediately 14 demolish something. We have to go through the process. The 15 process is, thirty days or immediately have the City do it if 16 it's life and limb. Those are the only options we have as a 17 Board. 18 MS. HASAN: The Board does have the authority, in 19 my opinion, to order a shorter timeframe than thirty days if the Board chooses. 20 21 MR. CROGNALE: Yes, that's what we have is we have 22 that window of thirty days. 23 MR. PHILLIPS: Can I ask a question? Mr. Hopkins, 24 who do you represent? 25 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Hey Jack, just for the record

would you reflect that Mr. Philips joined the Board at 3:12? Thank you.

MR. PHILLIPS: Mr. Hopkins who do you represent, the bank?

MR. HOPKINS: As I stated when I came up, I represent the owners of the home, the respondents here.

MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. Huh.

MR. HOPKINS: And I think I would also like to offer -- and I appreciate what Mr. Holmes -- I'm here to assist and I represent them and to rectify the situation. But this isn't since 2005, I've been just noticed on this recently. I'm here to assist and make this work. I've already been to the property and I've talked to my clients about it. We've got to get this done.

And I have no problem. And I appreciate the Board telling me, hey you don't need a permit here we've just figured that out. If I don't need that and I don't need to go through the City, give me the thirty days to get this done and I will work on getting them to do it in short order in a lot shorter order. I just want to get in, don't get into real scheduling issue, that's all.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, the deterioration of this dock didn't happen overnight and it probably didn't happen over the month and it probably didn't happen over the last year but regardless that's not what we're here to do.

1 MR. HOPKINS: Correct. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, if there's no more questions 3 let's not belabor the point and bring it back to the Board. 4 Any other questions? Any other people that want to testify 5 on this? Okay. MR. HOLLAND: Okay, I move that we find the 6 7 violations exist as alleged and that we, and that exist as 8 alleged, and that we order the property owner to demolish the 9 structure within the nominal thirty days and that we order 10 the City to demolish the structure should the property owner 11 failed to timely demolish. Such demolition is to be 12 accomplished by a licensed demolition contractor pursuant to 13 a City issued demolition permit. 14 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You may need to amend that because 15 16 MR. CROGNALE: Comment? 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: -- there isn't a City issued 18 demolition permit required. 19 MR. HOLLAND: I guess if that's what we are hearing 20 we'd need --21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Or you can just say should it be 22 required. 23 MR. HOLLAND: Yes, should it. Yes, I'll change it, 24 should it be required. 25 MR. PHILLIPS: Second.

1	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, we have a motion and a
2	second, any further discussion before we vote on the matter?
3	Yes sir.
4	MR. LARSON: I'm wondering, I'm a little concerned
5	about the thirty days. I'm wondering if we can't put a
6	fifteen day period on that, to achieve
7	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: We have a motion and a second and
8	so, if you want to do something different you probably need
9	to vote
10	MR. PHILLIPS: I'd like to withdraw my second so
11	there could be a friendly amendment.
12	MR. HOLLAND: Yes, I would accept the friendly
13	amendment to fifteen days.
14	MR. PHILLIPS: Second.
15	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay, any further discussion?
16	Alright, all in favor say aye.
17	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
18	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any opposed? Your client's got
19	fifteen days to take it out otherwise the City will address
20	it. Thank you Mr. Hopkins, thank you Mr. Holmes.
21	MR. HOLMES: Thank you, Board.
22	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Madam?
23	
24	2. Case: CE14061544 INDEX
25	ETWYCEDNIN BONDEODD W C

FITZGERALD, ROSLYN

4404 NE 23 AVE

MS. PRYOR: Alright, next case is on page four on your agenda. Case number CE14061544, Inspector Robert Masula, case address 4404 Northeast 23 Ave., the owner is Bradford or Roslyn Fitzgerald.

The notice of violation posted on property 7/30/14, advertised in Daily Business Review on 8/1/14 and 8/8/14. Certified mail is noticed on your agenda.

MS. HASAN: Good afternoon Mr. Chair, members of the Board, I'm going to be presenting this case on behalf of the City and the inspector and the Building Official are here to answer any questions. We're going to run some photographs, thank you.

[Ms. Hasan displayed photos of the property]

The Notice of Violation of in this case was issued based upon an inspection on the June 20, 2014. I want to wait for the pictures for a moment. The complaint was originated from the neighborhood. The inspector went out, found that this -- well, a little bit of background -- this properties in currently in foreclosure; it's not occupied.

Part of the complaints from the neighborhood where police oriented in nature in that kids were gathering both inside and outside of the property with alcohol and drugs in fairly large numbers. That has been referred, of course,

over to the major in patrol.

2.5

Part of the -- as you see, there's several problems with the property. Both the Building official, myself and the Code Compliance Director went out personally on Monday. The Building Official went out again as you can see at 7:30 this morning to take additional photographs. There are several life safety concerns on this property --

MR. PHILLIPS: Excuse me, can I just interrupt for a second? When you're mentioning life safety concerns, I think it's more appropriate that it not be based on your observation as a lawyer but the -- just for clarity of record.

MS. HASAN: Okay, well, correct. I appreciate that the --

MR. PHILLIPS: I'm just, you know what I'm talking about.

MS. HASAN: I do. The Florida Building Code violations are listed in the Notice of Violation. And again, there are many neighbors from the neighborhood that would like to speak and Commissioner Roberts is also here and has been very involved in the process of forwarding the complaints both to my office and to the appropriate departments for further action and follow-up.

The swimming pool, as you could see, is unsecured and unsafe. Obviously the City is concerned with that

element of the property because if you've got not only kids, it's open, it's an open property to the golf course. As you can see, it's not, the property itself is not fully fenced in. We're concerned about general safety as well as the safety of the kids that are illegally congregating in and around the property.

On that issue, just to take them a little bit separately, the City is going to ask for ten days to repair that pool fence because it's really a small issue that can be immediately taking care of. In addition, I think you saw in some of the prior pictures there is a stack of what appears to be roof tiles in the back of the property right near the pool. The City would also like those removed within ten days.

Finally, there are two holes in the roof that you can see in the plywood sheathing, got some good close-ups there. There's many, many loose tiles on the roof that could potentially be very dangerous in a storm; they could fly around. The gutters also are sagging and hanging off the property. So on those elements the City is asking two things: to repair the roof the two holes within thirty days and also for the property owner to submit a complete report from a licensed roofing contractor detailing the structural integrity of the roof.

There is some areas, there are some areas, rather,

of sagging. It's a little difficult with the loose tiles and with not having access to the interior of the property to know what the status of that roof is. The holes have been there, it is our understanding, for some time and you can, if you go up close to the property you can smell the deterioration from the inside.

The inside is also open to the elements; they are a number of pieces of the house hanging. But there has, there was a demolition permit obtained for interior demolition a few years ago that was approved and received final inspections.

So just to recap, the City would like ten days for the property owner to secure the pool, to remove the roof tiles which are a hazard, to repair the two roof openings within thirty days or failing that of course that the City would go in and make those holes watertight and safe and to present to the City for its review and consideration a report on the full roofing system by a licensed roofing contractor.

And if you have any technical questions on the nature of the violations the Building Official has visited the property, as I mentioned, twice and the Inspector, of course, also has visited the property. Thank you. And I know there are a number of neighbors that would like to speak on the issue.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Are there any questions for

counsel before --1 2 MR. JARRETT: I have one. 3 MS. HASAN: Yes sir. I question the City asking for a 4 MR. JARRETT: 5 report from a licensed roofing contractor. I feel that should be an engineer. 6 7 MS. HASAN: We -- that's a question for the 8 Building Official. 9 MR. HERNANDEZ: A licensed engineer would be 10 acceptable. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Please introduce yourself. MR. HERNANDEZ: Alex Hernandez, Building Official. 12 13 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you, sir. 14 MR. HERNANDE: A licensed engineer would be 15 acceptable. 16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any other questions for the City before we hear from any of the respondents? Are the owners 17 18 here? I'm sorry, before you speak sir just a quick question for the City. When you were out there inspecting it this 19 20 morning and the child fencing around the pool, is it just 21 dislodged or is it broken? Because that could have been 22 where somebody took it out of the anchor hole and just put it 23 to the side. Is it in disrepair? 24 MR. FITZGERALD: It's been fixed since then. 25 Here's the pictures were taken.

1	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: The child fencing; the mesh
2	fencing that goes around the pool.
3	MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. The fence was in disrepair
4	this morning.
5	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright.
6	MR. HERNANDEZ: He's showing pictures that it's
7	been repaired since then this afternoon.
8	MR. FITZGERALD: What happened to that is that the
9	kids broke the actual you know how this just
10	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Just a second, because we're going
11	to need you to introduce yourself and all that so.
12	MR. FITZGERALD: I'm sorry.
13	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright sir, if you'll please
14	introduce yourself.
15	MR. FITZGERALD: My name is Bradford Fitzgerald,
16	I'm the property owner.
17	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.
18	MR. FITZGERALD: The fence was fixed this morning.
19	The kids broke the fence. I guess they've been partying in
20	the backyard. This house has been under contract several
21	times. It's currently under contract
22	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: To be sold?
23	MR. FITZGERALD: to be sold at the full
24	principal payoff to the bank. The bank and I have been
25	fighting on this about, basically the bank has not responded

to any offers. We've had it under contract several times. The offer on the table right now is 100% of the principal is being paid to the bank.

We finally have a law firm that has actually been assigned. This is the seventh law firm for the bank. So it is under contract. The people that are buying a house plan on demoing the house and building a new house there.

So, I apologize to all the neighbors about the house. It's been a circumstances of the economy and then for the past two years and eight months I've been going through stage four cancer and just the house is not in question for my family anymore.

We did demo the inside of the house, pulled a demo permit on it. There is a full set of plans done by by Randall Stofft to put the house back together again and I don't know if the buyer's going to use those plans or, I know for sure he's either going to rip the roof off completely or he is going to go ahead and demolish the house.

We did get a roofer too -- Earl Johnson Roofing -- I have a copy of the contract here for City. And I have these pictures for the City also.

[Mr. Fitzgerald distributed photos of the property]

This is a copy of the contract to repair the roof
in full by Earl Johnson Roofing who is a excellent roofing
contractor. And those -- you can keep all that stuff for

you. So, the repairs will be done. If it was a rainy season repairs would have been done by now. But because of rainy season, and ripping roofs off and putting new roofs on he's scheduled to be out there within the next two weeks. He said it'll probably only take about three to four days to everything completely finished and the roof to be totally dried in.

And then the pool fence was put back together again and then they are going to be replacing a couple of the pieces. A pool fence company was hired to, they're going to replace some of the sections where the poles were actually just sheared off from kids playing out there.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is your conversation with the roofing company addressing also the loose tile that are on the ground in the back?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, they're going to take care of everything, yes.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, what you're telling this Board is that you would anticipate all the violations being addressed in twenty-one days.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes sir.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any questions for the respondent?

MR. PHILLIPS: Mr. Fitzgerald, this is a short sale submission to the bank I guess?

MR. FITZGERALD: It's, the short sale, it's not

1 really foreclosed, actually the short sale, and the short 2 sale is basically over interest and attorney's fees. I've been maintaining the lawn, I have a pool company goes out and 3 takes care of the pool. 4 5 MR. PHILLIPS: No, I'm saying the contract is --6 MR. FITZGERALD: The contract is, the bank is 7 getting one hundred percent of the principal paid on the loan. 8 9 MR. PHILLIPS: Alright, that normally takes months 10 before that's --11 MR. FITZGERALD: They've had, this offer has been 12 executed -- I brought a copy of the contract with me also. 13 The contract was signed on this on 7/15. The good news is, 14 at two o'clock this afternoon I had a appointment with the 15 appraiser at two o'clock this afternoon which means the bank 16 is actually working on it. Because when they send out an 17 appraiser -- properties been appraised six times in the last 18 so many years. 19 MR. PHILLIPS: Is it in foreclosure right now? 20 MR. FITZGERALD: It's in foreclosure because I 21 stopped making payments on it because no one would talk to 22 me. 23 MR. PHILLIPS: Is there a sale date set? 24 MR. FITZGERALD: No, no, there's no sale.

MR. PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.

25

MR. FITZGERALD: I told them I would do anything 1 2 they wanted to do. They're getting paid one hundred percent of the principal on the mortgage. I'm losing seven hundred 3 4 thousand dollars on this transaction. So the bank's getting 5 one hundred percent principle and I'm losing my entire life 6 savings, so. 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any other questions for the 8 respondent? 9 MR. PHILLIPS: How much time do you think you need 10 Mr. Fitzgerald? 11 MR. FITZGERALD: Two to three weeks at the most. 12 As soon as the roofer gets done everything will be done. 13 pool guy said he can have it fixed within one week he'll have 14 the things up. 15 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Anyone else? I have a guestion 16 back to the City. 17 Yes sir. MS. HASAN: 18 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: If you enlighten me what a

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: If you enlighten me what a decision of this Board would have from an impact on the sale of his property with where they're at in trying to sell it right now. It sounds to me like he has some genuine interest and he has got a signed contract with a roofer to address this. I would hate to see a knee-jerk decision of this Board upset a potential deal.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HASAN: No, and I understand that Mr. Chair.

1 The City at this time isn't asking for any demolition. 2 just asking for an order with a fairly short timeframe to repair and failing the property owner repair and/or the sale 3 not go through as one of the members indicated, sometimes 4 5 short sales do take a length of time and I do understand from 6 reviewing the docket sheet that bank has not only gone 7 through many different entities but also a lot of different 8 attorneys have come and gone. 9 The City would go in and make those repairs failing 10 the property owner's failure to act but most of them are, in 11 the Building Official's opinion, pretty simple fixes that can 12 be done in a short amount of time. 13 MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me Michael, when she got up 14 and spoke earlier she was asking for thirty days for the 15 property --16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, ten days, I believe. 17 MS. HASAN: Ten, yes, it was ten and thirty. 18 MR. FITZGERALD: Ten for the pool fence --19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Ten for the pool and thirty for 20 the roof. Okay. 21 MS. HASAN: And the roofing tile. 22 MR. FITZGERALD: And that --23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And he is indicating that he's 24 already addressed the pool issue. Correct? 25 MR. FITZGERALD: I've addressed it and the two

1 sections where the poles are totally broken, they'll be replaced within a -- I can meet the timelines no problem. 2 There's no objection to her timelines. 3 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Any other questions for the 4 5 respondent? 6 MS. HASAN: And the only other caveat Mr. Chair is 7 my fault, I forgot was that there are some unsecured openings that the Building Official notice this morning. There is, if 9 you saw in the pictures there is an extension cord that has 10 one of the windows open and there's another window that's 11 also partially open so we would also ask that those be properly secured. 12 13 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Are those noted in the complaint? 14 MS. HASAN: Yes. 15 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Those are noted in our -- okay. 16 MS. HALE: Are they in the back where the pull-17 across shutters are? 18 MS. HASAN: They are located in the back. 19 MS. HALE: Could you use the pull-across shutters? 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You know, without knowing what the 21 purpose of the extension cords are, it may be that it's 22 powering the pool pump because the pool pump wasn't 23 [inaudible]. 24 MR. FITZGERALD: There's one extension cord and 25 it's actually, not actually sticking out the window.

MS. HASAN: Right, in this picture. 1 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I saw it before. 3 MR. FITZGERALD: It's hitting behind there and that 4 is for my pool man to go out there and use some extra 5 equipment out there. 6 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright. 7 MR. FITZGERALD: Because there's only -- most of 8 the electric in the entire house has been capped off. 9 whole house is [inaudible] so most of it's been capped off. 10 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I think this gets back a little 11 bit to what Mr. Phillips was indicating, the life safety 12 issue. I think we all have extension cords that we use out 13 back of our house so, I'm a little bit leery on how to rule 14 on this one, but. 15 MR. FITZGERALD: If you look at that picture right 16 there you see that extension cord that's actually not outside 17 of the house and if you want me to remove it totally I will 18 but then --19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Sounds like it's be a good thing 20 to do. 21 MR. FITZGERALD: I will remove it but the pool man 22 will need an extension cord so I go back out there when he 23 needs it. 24 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright. Are there any other 25 questions or comments to the respondent? I don't want to

deny anybody coming up to testify. It sounds like the City is asking for a certain action, it sounds that the owner is willing to abide by that. Anybody else want to be heard on this matter? Yes sir. Please step up, identify yourself.

MR. MCCORMACK: Mr. Chairman, my name is Tom

McCormack, I'm an attorney in town and I'm a neighbor. And

first of all Mr. Fitzgerald, I'm sorry for your misfortune

and dealing with banks is no pleasure and your health

concerns. I'm sorry that you're having to go through that.

I don't really care about the interior of the house but I have little kids that ride bikes up and down the streets there; there are no sidewalks in Coral Ridge. And there are a lot of other little kids that are around there.

Cars go flying by because apparently this is now the new party house. A lot of criminal activity from what I understand. There's other, Commissioner Roberts and a lot of the neighbors are here to tell you more about that. I don't live right next to the house but I think it should be demolished.

And I hear the stage of where it's at with the bank that means nothing's going to happen fast. I mean everybody's probably been involved in situations and know how the banks deal with time these days. There's no, from what I've heard Mr. Fitzgerald say, there's no clear indication that anything's going to happen within six months. We don't

have any contract, we don't have any date, we don't have anything that's solid.

So I'm not going to take a lot of time but I just, from my perspective that the criminal activity that's taking place there is completely unacceptable and somebody's going to get hurt.

matter that neighbors or people who are witnessing this should contact the Police Department. I'm sure that you guys probably have a neighborhood association, maybe get somebody from the Police Department out and talk to them and see if there can't be some sort of a campaign to go with that, but we have been asked by the City to address the roof and the pool fencing and so to even consider complete demolition is not in our purview.

MR. MCCORMACK: Okay. Well, I cede the rest of my time to my neighbors.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you.

MR. MCCORMACK: Thank you for your time.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes sir.

MR. FITZGERALD: Just for the neighbors that are here and I know a few of the neighbors that are here, there's been paperwork that's been signed with the Fort Lauderdale Police Department and no trespassing signs posted on there so if any children come the police actually came to my house

because they knew I was home sick, and the police officer came to my house and actually filled out the paperwork for me in my dining room with me. And there's no trespassing signs posted on the house and there's paperwork down at the City of Fort Lauderdale that if any kids are partying in the backyard of the house the police have the right to arrest them or remove them from the property.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So you have a no trespass affidavit on file at the police department.

1.0

MR. FITZGERALD: There is a no trespass affidavit filed with the City of Fort Lauderdale because we received complaints about the children. I personally have gone over there on Friday nights at eight o'clock, I've got over there with a police officer and when we go there they're not there and apparently when we leave, they come and they drink beer in the backyard because the golf course is there, and there's nobody in, because of the big golf course that's in the backyard there, there's nobody there and they run onto the golf course and run away.

But there is paperwork signed and filed at the City of Fort Lauderdale Police Department saying no trespassing and the police have the right to come on the property and take anybody off of that property.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, is there --

MR. LARSON: Mr. Chair?

1 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Do you want to --2 MR. LARSON: Yes, I want to -- Mr. Fitzgerald, I'm 3 sorry I didn't get to you sooner. You have a pile of extra 4 tile up there in the yard over there --5 MR. FITZGERALD: That's for the pool deck. 6 MR. LARSON: Is there any reason why those tile 7 can't be moved into --8 MR. HOLLAND: Yes, that's those are deck pavers, I 9 think. 10 MR. LARSON: Pardon? 11 MR. HOLLAND: Deck pavers. 12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, I think the City brought up 13 that there's a pile of roof tile there and he has testified 14 that his roofing company will take those away. 15 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. 16 MR. LARSON: That's why I wanted to be sure if he's 17 going to use them he could at least move them over --18 MR. FITZGERALD: The papers are going to be used 19 again; that's why they were saved and piled up. The flat 20 tile pavers. 21 MR. LARSON: Yes. Because they're hard to get. 22 They're only on inch, they're one-MR. FITZGERALD: 23 inch pavers. The roof tiles will all be completely removed 24 from the property. 25 MR. LARSON: Okay, all right. As long as they're

going to be moved out that's all I care.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes ma'am?

MS. COPELIN: Hello, my name is Florence Copelin and I reside directly across the street from his home. My concern is that this home has been vacant for almost ten years now. During the course of those ten years there been several times that we thought the home would sell and repairs would be made and every time it falls apart. That's why this has taken so long before it's gotten to this point.

As far as just making repairs to the roof, there are several other weakened areas that eventually are just going to open back up again. There is a large number of raccoons living in that roof that's open and they're coming around and destroying property, destroying screens, tearing up garbage, just making a mess in pools.

The pool fence -- I was there at one o'clock today and it's still, I guess the poles are still broken so that is definitely something that does need to be repaired. I have three small children that live across the street. About two months ago I did leave a voicemail for Mr. Fitzgerald which he never returned. I would have appreciated at least the courtesy of return phone call to let me know that he was aware of the hole in the roof and that he was going to address it. That never happened.

The partying, I know you're saying that that's

something as far as the police should be concerned about that, but they're also causing property damage to the surrounding properties because they're parking on our lawns, damaging our sprinklers, damaging our pipes. The homeless people that have resided on that property. I mean, I think other than that, see, I don't understand what a repair, a small repair is going to do.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well again, and, I, and this is probably for everyone here. Unfortunately, not unfortunately, fortunately, we are only tasked to deal with the complaints that are brought to us by the City. All these other things, they need to be addressed with the Police Department. We're not here to rule on trespassing and that kind of thing.

MS. COPELIN: Okay.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, the house is open and unprotected, then the City would bring that to us as a matter of something to address. But here and now, and quite frankly, not having a crystal ball but getting a sense of what's going on, this is going to rule somewhat like the other case in that it sounds like the owner of the property is wanting to cooperate and get the items addressed that the City is concerned about. And if he doesn't, then the City is going to do it. So, I think that you guys are on a path to have your items addressed.

MS. COPELIN: Right.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Whether it's by Mr. Fitzgerald or by the City of Fort Lauderdale.

MS. COPELIN: Okay. But I feel that just repairing those holes is not going to be enough. There's just going to be further issues because there will be more holes in that roof within a short amount of time. I don't think it will hold up for very long.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, the roofing contractor will need to pull a permit to repair it and he will need to make it in a safe condition. That's going to be the requirement of the Building Department. So if that is not the case, well, it is the case, because that's what the City's going to require. We can't necessarily ask them to replace the roof if the City hasn't told us that the roof is in disrepair. I mean that's not fair to Mr. Fitzgerald.

MS. COPELIN: Okay. And how do we find out? Do we have to have a specialist out there to say that it's in disrepair?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, just like it was mentioned earlier that there will be an engineer that will go out and evaluate the condition of the roof.

MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman?

MS. COPELIN: Okay. Because I do feel that needs to be replaced and not just repaired at least.

1 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Right, no, that's at the 2 discretion --3 MS. COPELIN: Okay. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: -- of whoever's doing the report. 4 5 MS. COPELIN: So, something that will be further looked into then. 6 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's between the Building 8 Department and --9 MS. HASAN: Right. That's what we wanted the 10 signed and sealed report. And the Building Official will evaluate that and if it necessitates --11 12 MR. CROGNALE: Comment, Mike? 13 MS. HASAN: -- complete replacement or something in 14 between, then we'll reevaluate that and perhaps bring that 15 back to the Board also. 16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You know, just like with the dock 17 before, it is, we are here for your benefit. 18 MS. COPELIN: Okay. 19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay? So, we can only move so 20 fast. If we make requests that are either out of bounds, it 21 gives them a reason to come back and challenge us or they 22 can't perform it. He's got a signed contract with a roofing 23 contractor to address the concern. And that's something, 24 that's something to hang your hat on. And sounds like he's 25 addressing the pool concern. So again, if I had a crystal

1 ball I would venture to say that we're going to find that there is a violation and he needs to fix it, if he doesn't, 2 the City will. So either way it's going to be addressed. 3 MR. LARSON: And ma'am 4 5 MS. COPELIN: Yes? 6 MR. LARSON: In regards to your raccoons over 7 there, I was involved in another city with a bunch of 8 raccoons. And we were able to get the City to bring us out some, Animal Control to bring us out some traps and we 10 trapped them and they moved them out of the area. If you've 11 got that many raccoons in your area I would suggest that the 12 neighbors get together and get some traps out there and move them out and you'll help your own cause there with the 13 14 raccoons. 15 Ms. COPELIN: Right. Thank --16 MR. LARSON: Because you don't want those raccoons 17 around when you have children. 18 Right, I know. They are creating MS. COPELIN: 19 quite a mess. The thing is that over the past ten years the 20 neighbors have had to deal with so many issues regarding this 21 home: cleaning up the litter, calling this City --22 MR. LARSON: I understand that. 23 They're really beyond putting any MS. COPELIN:

more money or time into having to maintain this property

because of how long it's been.

24

25

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: It sounds like your issues are being addressed. Joe you had a --

MR. CROGNALE: Yes, a short comment. Something to put to rest that keeps cropping up two times now during the discussion from the respondents. I personally don't believe that this house rises to the level of a complete demolition so we get that out of the way.

The short list that the City's offered seems to be taken care of. Roofer's in place. That's basically all this Board can do. We can't go beyond what the City's recommending just because we hear some comments. And it's really unfortunate that the comments you're bringing up -- they're valid -- I'm sure they're valid but we can't legislate for the vermin, the things in the attic and unseen things. I don't know when it's going to break down. But as of right now, what's before us is just what the City's short list this.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Holland?

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, I want to thank Ms. Copelin for her observations. I agree with her assessment that this roof is in a lot worse condition. Maybe it may appear to laypersons with the photos.

And I don't share your comfort with that contract I mean it's a lump-sum roof contract for fourteen hundred.

That might get you one area but it's not going to get you

several areas. And customarily when you do an old roof where the membrane has been the allowed to totally deteriorate with age -- I imagine this is an original roof or something as old -- it's failing everywhere and the roofer gets out there, you usually have unit price items for contingencies to replace rotten plywood sheathing, reinforce any rotted truss materials.

But I think this estimate probably is off by a factor of ten and I don't share the level of comfort. And that said, I'm very concerned about the tiles flying off in the event of a storm in very short order without very much anchoring capability throughout that roof. That's just my opinion.

Ms. COPELIN: Thank you.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, I will remind you that I don't think the City's coming to us to ask us to replace the whole roof so we're not going to be necessarily voting on that unless somebody wants to make that motion. Thornie?

MR. JARRETT: But Joe, we do have written into the requests from the City an engineering report. And I feel perfectly safe about an engineer's report.

MR. HOLLAND: No, I'm comfortable with that. What I'm not comfortable about is where the funds are going to come from once we really -- is fourteen hundred dollars really going to do it? Is this coming from the bank or Mr.

1 Ferguson's reserves or --2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: This is going, that's going to be 3 an applicable question to ninety-five percent of the cases 4 that appear before us, where the --5 MR. HOLLAND: And we talk about it a lot, through insurance policies, through banks, banks' lawyers, layers and 6 7 layers of people. 8 MR. JARRETT: Joe, that's not an issue here because 9 after thirty days, the City has in their request asked for 10 thirty days for the owner to comply. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And if he doesn't, they will. 12 MR. JARRETT: And if he doesn't, the City's going 13 to. So --14 MR. HOLLAND: I'm just letting you know that the 15 City's also going on these repairs but I'm just letting you 16 know that I think it's going to be major. 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 18 MR. HOLLAND: That's all. Just do what you want to 19 do. 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright. 21 MR. JARRETT: I, actually, I agree with you Joe. 22 Looking at the roof to me it does look like it's in bad 23 shape. But I'm confident that the engineering report will

MR. PHILLIPS: Well, you know, this is obviously a

24

show that.

stopgap measure. If the contract goes through then the demolition is moot. If it doesn't go through in a month or two we can revisit this and if it doesn't look like it's progressing anywhere on a short sale then when the City asks us to demolish it we should take it up at that time.

Otherwise I think we should grant what the City is asking right now. If they want to bring it back in another two months hopefully Mr. Fitzgerald will have some better luck all around and we'll address it then.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, there's a lot --

MS. HASAN: Mr. Chair, again, the City is comfortable. If there are concerns from the Board members on the full status of the roof which again, from a visual observation we were not, the Building Official was not comfortable saying it should be completely demolished. But if the Board wants to order a shorter timeframe for the engineering report the City would be supportive of that as well. And [inaudible]

MR. HOLLAND: That's a -- yes, I would consider time of the essence for that.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Fitzgerald, would you like to -- did you have anything more? I'm sorry ma'am.

MS. COPELIN: No, that's it.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Thank you very much. Mr. Fitzgerald is there anything more that you want to add?

MR. FITZGERALD: For your information, and if you check the records, that roof is not the original roof of the house.

MR. HOLLAND: Okay.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay? So the house is forty-seven, forty-eight years old. The roof was replaced twenty some years ago. Earl Johnson Roofing does a lot of work for my firm all the time so I'm getting --

MR. HOLLAND: Okay, that's good.

MR. FITZGERALD: -- an excellent price. I own a commercial real estate and property management company. My brother John Fitzgerald is a builder here in Fort Lauderdale and we use Earl Johnson Roofing all the time so I'm getting the family discount to get my work done.

MR. HOLLAND: That sounds excellent. I just, sometimes in this line of work you don't know what you have until you tear the tile off.

MR. FITZGERALD: I also happened to study engineering in school and I am a reformed general contractor so I completely understand how things are built and how things are put together.

MR. HOLLAND: Excellent. Sounds like you're in good shape.

MR. FITZGERALD: That structure is probably one of the best built houses in the City of Fort Lauderdale. Every

tie beam, every everything is solid concrete block, every 1 2 truss is perfectly straight, every double strap, perfect. 3 MR. HOLLAND: Yes, it looks well-built and it's 4 very worth salvaging. 5 MR. FITZGERALD: It was designed by Mr. Storrs and built by Mr. Storrs and it's a very well-built house. 6 7 MR. HOLLAND: Thank you, I agree. 8 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Good afternoon sir. Would you 9 like to be heard on this matter? 10 MR. WINNINGHAM: Yes sir. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Please state your name for the 12 record. 13 MR. WINNINGHAM: My name is John Winningham, I'm an 14 architect with the firm of Winningham and Bundy Architects. 15 We're in Oakland Park. I've been a resident of Fort 16 Lauderdale since 1943. I was requested to come out and give 17 a proposal to prepare the plans to repair this structure 18 that's under question. I brought along with me my mechanical 19 engineer, my landscape architect and my structural engineer. 20 We spent about two hours reviewing the house. Our biggest 21 concern was the roof structure. Not the roof itself, the 22 roof, it has leaks in it. Those leaks --23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Define the roof structure, if you 24 would.

The trusses.

MR. WINNINGHAM:

25

1 | CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. WINNINGHAM: The trusses are the things that hold the roof up. There is numerous instances where the ends of the trusses that are bearing on the tie beams are rotting, mildewed, but the most concerned position of me is there is two girder trusses in the house that support other trusses framing into them. The ends of those two girder trusses are rotted. I'm concerned of failure without too much wind load on it. The roof can probably get redone in fourteen days but the roof structure will take longer than that. I just want you to be aware of it because I do think it is a life safety issue.

MR. PHILLIPS: Who commissioned your study?

MR. WINNINGHAM: A gentleman that was acting as the project manager of the man that was going to buy the house. When I finally gave them my proposal to prepare the plans they became extremely quiet because my proposal exceeded forty thousand dollars.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So it sounds like you would endorse the current buyer's position of demolishing the entire residence.

MR. WINNINGHAM: I really think it's due to be bulldozed.

MR. PHILLIPS: Who asked you, can I ask how is it you came to come here today?

MR. WINNINGHAM: Pardon? 1 2 MR. PHILLIPS: What was it that occasioned your 3 coming here? 4 MR. WINNINGHAM: My brother lives next door to this 5 house. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 6 Okay. 7 MR. WINNINGHAM: And he asked me to come. 8 MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any questions for Mr. Winningham? 10 Thank you sir. 11 MR. WINNINGHAM: Thank you. 12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is there anyone else that would 13 like to be heard? Good afternoon sir. If you'd state your 14 name for the record please. 15 MR. CLEMONS: Yes. I'm Gary Clemons, I reside two 16 houses south of the structure here. A lot of issues have 17 been touched upon with regards to what has become of the home and the structure itself we know and I would concur with Mr. 18 19 Winningham that it should be knocked down. There have been a 20 number of issues that have arisen for crime. I have a letter 21 here and I know this isn't up your alley but just to make 22 aware, there was a robbery on the golf course a year and a 23 half ago. So again highlighting that issue, that's not why 24 we're here. Why we're here is to address the structure.

25

One, just to make sure --

1	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, Mr. Clemons, we're here to
2	address the voids in the roof and the pool.
3	MR. CLEMONS: Yes.
4	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Not necessarily the structure.
5	MR. CLEMONS: Okay, okay. One, the pile of roof
6	tiles are actually pavers in the back.
7	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.
8	MR. CLEMONS: So, we would hope that when Mr.
9	Fitzgerald brings his man over to redo the roof he removes
10	those pavers they're not tiles, they're pavers.
11	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.
12	MR. CLEMONS: We want to make sure there's some
13	clarity on that.
14	MR. PHILLIPS: Pavers on the roof?
15	MS. HALE: No.
16	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, in the back.
17	MR. CLEMONS: On the ground. They're not roof
18	tiles, they're pavers. So if we have a hurricane, those will
19	become projectiles.
20	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Fine, understood, understood.
21	MR. CLEMONS: I just want to ensure that that's
22	MR. PHILLIPS: Pavers become projectiles?
23	MR. CLEMONS: Yes. They're small.
24	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Unsecured.
25	MR. CLEMONS: Unsecured. The time of essence is

very important obviously. And then, just to bring to 1 2 everybody's attention and this may not be the right forum but 3 there is a large gun safe in the garage. With people going in and out of the home that would be very easy for someone to 4 5 crack into. I don't know if there's weapons in the gun safe 6 or not. 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Clemons, we need to stay on 8 topic sir. 9 MR. CLEMONS: Okay. 10 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So if we can keep it to the roof 11 and the pool and the lose debris in the back. 12 MR. CLEMONS: Okay. Now, I understand. 13 wanted to bring that to everybody's attention and my 14 neighbors most importantly. 15 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: The Police Department may be 16 interested in that. 17 MR. CLEMONS: Well, thank you. Other than that I 18 would just suggest that the concept of demolition for the 19 next meeting is brought up [inaudible] with the City on that. 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: If the City warrants it they'll 21 bring it to our attention. 22 MR. CLEMONS: Thank you. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you. Anyone else like to be

heard on this? Yes ma'am please step forward. Good

24

25

afternoon.

MS. LUZINSKI: Good afternoon. My name is Martha Luzinski, many of the issues that I had brought today I'm not going to share because you've already heard those. But I did want to say I called the Fort Lauderdale Police Department yesterday and asked for their records department and there are seventeen separate phone calls for this address -- 4404 Northeast 23 Avenue -- just within the last few years. So I just want you to know that there have been many neighbors, multiple times, myself included, calling about this home.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Very good. Thank you ma'am.

MS. LUZINSKI: Thank you.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is there any --

MS. HASAN: If I could, if there is a structural engineering report out there that someone wants to submit that's signed and sealed the City would certainly, again, be happy to review that expeditiously and take a look if there is an issue of structural integrity.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. FITZGERALD: I didn't open the doors for anybody to go inside of that house so I don't know how anybody got inside of that house to look at anything on the inside of that house because I'm the only one that has a set of keys to that home.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You may want to call the Police Department.

MR. FITZGERALD: I might want to call the Police

Department. I know that I have a lot of disgruntled

neighbors in regards to that house. That was going to be my

dream house and I do apologize to all and every one of you.

Believe me I'm the biggest loser in this whole thing, out of
this whole process.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. FITZGERALD: I am here as the property owner to address the issues that were brought before this Board. I used to sit on this board twenty years ago for a number of years. I am addressing the issues that were brought to my attention. I completely am going to get this stuff fixed and handled just like the Board wants me to take care of and I apologize for wasting all of your time today.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Duly noted. Thank you Mr. Fitzgerald. Yes ma'am, would you like to be heard?

MS. PUMPHREY: Good afternoon my name is Shelley
Pumphrey, I live catty-corner to the property. I just want
to, I want to address specifically the FBC 116.2.1.3.2, which
addresses the specifically the kids playing inside the
property. Just by way of quick background, I was formerly, I
co-owned a security company for fifteen years and I
understand the concept of attractive nuisance. In this case
particularly, you've seen pictures of doorknobs missing,
windows open.

1 My question is, we've talked a lot about the roof 2 structure, we've talked about the pavers and the pool, is 3 what is included in this fix, proposed fix, are we going to secure the property so that there's no longer an attractive 4 5 nuisance? In other words, replacing the doorknobs, making sure that those windows are secured and locked. 6 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That has not been brought to this 8 Board to weigh in on or give a decision on. 9 MS. HASAN: Yes I did, yes sir. 10 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'm sorry. 11 MS. HASAN: Yes I did. I asked for the two, for the 12 openings to be secured. 13 I'm sorry. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 14 MS. HASAN: The one that has in the front, the 15 window that you saw the picture of and the one in the back 16 that has the extension cord. 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, well, with that being 18 said then, Mr. Fitzgerald, am I assuming -- we've talked, 19 spent a lot of times on the roof and the pool -- am I 20 assuming that you're going to address every violation that's 21 been listed? 22 MR. FITZGERALD: They're impact resistant windows, 23 so [Inaudible] 24 MS. PUMPHREY: Okay.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And again, all right.

25

1 MS. PUMPHREY: Good. Thank you so much. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes ma'am. 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, yes it'll be addressed. 4 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. I think that starts to 5 skirt away from what we're really up here. If somebody's got 6 their windows open. I understand that it's not a lived-in 7 the house, but it's not an unsafe structure. 8 Breaking and entering isn't us --MR. CROGNALE: CHAIR WEYMOUTH: It creates -- I'm sorry? 9 10 MR. CROGNALE: Breaking and entering is not our --11 breaking and entering is not our --12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Right. Yes sir, would you like to be heard? 13 14 MR. ABDO: Yes, thank you for the opportunity. 15 Frank Abdo, I live at 4301 Northeast 23 Avenue right down the 16 street. [inaudible] we have an unsafe structure, we have a 17 situation that's creating an unsafe condition for our 18 neighbors. This Board has an opportunity to do, to try and 19 make it safer than it is. It is unsafe. 20 And what Mr. Winningham has told you about the roof 21 structure is, I'm not an engineer but he knows and I think 22 that has to be taken very seriously because with hurricane 23 season's coming up, we all understand that situation and if that roof blows off that house and damages other houses in 24

the neighborhood or hurts other folks in the neighborhood

25

when we had the chance for this Board to secure that house, I think that that's not going to be a good thing. I know you'll do the right thing and I appreciate the time, thank you.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you Mr. Abdo. Yes sir? Good afternoon.

MR. SANCHEZ: Good evening everybody. My name is Joe Sanchez, I reside at 4501 Northeast 23 Avenue Fort Lauderdale. I'm a proud native of Ft. Lauderdale born here in 1952, Broward General. I also served on the Fort Lauderdale Police and Fire Pension Board for about seven, eight years in the 1990s, been active on the Imperial Point boards, Coral Ridge boards and helped form the Northeast Fort Lauderdale Alliance of Homeowner Associations back in the 80s when we tried to stop the four-laning of 62 Street.

Now, I am going to talk about structure, okay? I'm not an engineer and it seems like apparently this Board is riveted on the notion of having a certified engineer's study report to do what is called for. With all due respect, with great respect, here are pictures that I would like us to look at kindly, please.

I have an e-mail from Mrs. Montoya who indicate -- thank you, yes, yes --

MR. PHILLIPS: Can I just interrupt Mr. Chairperson?

1 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes sir. 2 MR. PHILLIPS: I believe that the City's request 3 does not encompass demolition. 4 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That is correct. 5 MR. PHILLIPS: So I don't think we should discuss 6 demolition until the City brings it to us. 7 MR. SANCHEZ: We're not -- I'm not necessarily saying demolition is a necessity okay? What I'm saying is, 8 9 it is one of the real possibilities that may have to happen 1.0 at some point because --11 MR. PHILLIPS: Not today. 12 MR. SANCHEZ: Maybe not today but we -- we ought to 13 do, hold on --14 MR. PHILLIPS: Can we abbreviate this? We've been 15 on this for almost forty-five minutes, we have a lot of other 16 people up here. Let's -- we understand Mr. Engineer. 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let's stay on topic. 18 MR. PHILLIPS: I think we can bring this back --19 MR. SANCHEZ: May I please, I just --20 MR. PHILLIPS: -- in a month or two when the City 21 discusses it. Otherwise we're going to ad infinitum. 22 MR. SANCHEZ: No we're not. 23 MR. PHILLIPS: I understand the neighbors want this 24 done, I think we all want it done. It's dangerous, the 25 hurricane season, it probably will be demolished.

contract goes through, it'll be a moot point. But I think 1 we're wasting our time going over, over and over when I think 2 we should grant the City's request. [inaudible] 3 4 MR. WEYMOUTH: Well. 5 MR. SANCHEZ: May I please have five to six 6 minutes? I, I --7 MR. PHILLIPS: [inaudible] minutes. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Please address the Chair when you 8 9 want to make a comment. I think that everybody should have 10 the right to be heard but I think we need to stay on topic. 11 We need to stay on the violations that have been brought to 12 this Board --13 MR. SANCHEZ: I am. May I address the violations? 14 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: [inaudible] not addressing girder 15 trusses may be rotting at the contact point on the tie beam, 16 and nobody's an engineer and nobody's been granted access to 17 the house. 18 MR. SANCHEZ: Okay. Understand. Understand. 19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, let's stick to the items --20 MR. SANCHEZ: Very good. With all due respect, I 21 Okay. I presented pictures to you, hopefully you shall. 22 will take a moment to look at them. There is not one hole,

Mr. Chairman as Mrs. Montoya's e-mail indicates that the

Building Official, City of Fort Lauderdale had said that

there's one hole the roof. Those pictures clearly show there

23

24

25

are between three and five substantial hole areas.

So what we're saying is, if the property owner wants to have the opportunity to re-roof, putting on new sheathing, the full roof deck, sir, and everything from the plywood up, fine. I don't know if it's going to hold, but if that is the position of the City that they'll take a chance that it holds --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: The City is going to look to the engineer's report that has been asked for in order to determine how to correct the violations that exist.

MR. SANCHEZ: Oh, an engineer's report is in process then.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: We are requesting an engineer's report.

MR. SANCHEZ: Okay.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Whether it's in process or not I don't know.

MR. SANCHEZ: Okay.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: But the City is requesting --

MR. SANCHEZ: Because with all due respect, the Building Official sent an e-mail, or communicated with Mrs. Montoya and said there is one hole. There's a cavernous hole, you've seen the pictures, two feet by two feet. There are many other holes in that roof, substantial. Tiles are like this. Indicate, substantial.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I'm sure that the engineer's report will address all of those areas.

MR. SANCHEZ: Okay, fine. And then the other issues, just to go on record with City, the property calls for the fencing in of that property. There was a house on Northeast 35 Street or 38 Street which was fully fenced in, we have hordes of teenagers, fifteen to eighteen-year-old kids drinking hard liquor, smoking pot, taking furniture from Mr. Winningham's property, taking it out on the golf course two hundred yards away, whatever.

I'm just telling you, if the homeless break in -the homeless used to live there and they were evicted by the
City -- if homeless people live there once again, if they
move in once again and that roof caves in on them it's pretty
embarrassing.

No trespass sign would be great to have it posted. I appreciate the owner very much for moving forward in requesting no trespass status on the property. We were told by Officer Parnell the property has no trespass status. However, we were also told by the City the no trespass sign cannot be posted unless the owner himself tapes it to the wall or nails it to the wall or the door.

So it would be really grand if the property owner could request the City to post a no trespass sign or if he has to physically do it that it gets done. Even, no, but

it's --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: This is drifting off topic again but real quick, Mr. Fitzgerald, would you agree to have the no trespass affidavit posted?

MR. SANCHEZ: Okay.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Put one in the front, put one in the rear so it's seen from the golf course, seen from the front.

[Mr. Fitzgerald nodded in agreement from the audience.]

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes sir.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. SANCHEZ: And I'll wrap up with this, just two or three quick sentences if I might, okay? The City is so very proud about winning one of the best cities in America award. As a proud native Fort Lauderdalian, myself and neighbors hope the City demonstrates they are all about protecting residents and neighbors from safety and health hazards.

Here are some very possible outcomes if the City doesn't mandate the demolishment or the reconstruction of everything above the top of the CBS walls, or hoping that the roof replacement works, hoping that the roof replacement works. Homeless move back in, while sleeping one night the roof caves in killing some homeless or injuring them. Okay,

1	while laying around excuse me, I'm wrapping up. Teenagers
2	drunk on liquor and pot which they frequently do over there
3	while laying around drinking inside the house because they
4	break in some time the door, by the way the lock is
5	totally gone so
6	MR. PHILLIPS: How do you know?
7	MR. SANCHEZ: Because I saw it. I went over there
8	and took pictures.
9	MR. LARSON: Did you go over and try it? Did you -
10	-
11	MR. SANCHEZ: No, no, I didn't try it.
12	MR. LARSON: Well then, how do you know it doesn't
13	work?
14	MR. SANCHEZ: Okay, because when you look at the
15	locking mechanism all that's there is part of the deadbolt.
16	You don't have
17	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Sanchez, if I can ask you to
18	wrap it up pretty quick.
19	MR. SANCHEZ: Okay, I'm going It wrap it up, I
20	shall.
21	[People speaking over each other]
22	MR. SANCHEZ: I'm going to wrap it up if I don't
23	get any more interruptions. I promise.
24	MR. LARSON: I got one question I want to ask him.
25	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: But I'm allowing you to go on on

something that's off topic. 1 2 MR. SANCHEZ: This is not off topic. 3 [People speaking over each other] 4 MR. HOLLAND: He was responding to our Board and I 5 think the photos do show locks missing. 6 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. But that doesn't make an opening unsecure. They may --8 MR. SANCHEZ: Let me wrap up. Okay. 9 Mr. FITZGERALD: I want to address the lock. 10 There's actually --11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let him finish. And then Mr. 12 Fitzgerald, you'll have the --13 MR. SANCHEZ: Yes. Okay. So at any rate, so the 14 teenagers break in the house, let's say, which is very 15 possible --16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let's get away from the breaking 17 and entering. 18 MR. SANCHEZ: Okay, okay, okay. 19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And let's stick to a roof, a pool, 20 or loose debris in the back. 21 MR. SANCHEZ: Fine, fine. 22 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: If it doesn't contain that, then 23 let's be done with it. 24 MR. SANCHEZ: Speaking of the roof -- let me stick to the roof okay? I am sticking to the roof. 25

1 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, you just went inside with 2 the drinking. 3 MR. SANCHEZ: If the roof replacement doesn't work 4 -- and visually when you look into the house you can look in 5 the house, you see some trusses that are really rotten, very 6 bad. If the roof replacement doesn't work from plywood up, 7 from the roof deck up and it caves in and hurts anybody or if 8 kids leaving the property -- this is a chance for me to go on record with the City -- please kindly -- thirty seconds, 10 forty seconds. 11 [People speaking over each other] 12 MR. LARSON: [inaudible] a whole lot of patience. 13 MR. SANCHEZ: Okay. I appreciate the patience. 14 Okav. So kids end up getting drunk on the property all the 15 time. They careen down the street speeding, they kill 16 themselves or they --17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, we're done, we're done, 18 we're done. Now were speeding and --19 MR. CROGNALE: We're done. 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Sanchez, thank you but we've 21 got a lot of other business to get on to, we have a lot of --22 [People speaking over each other] 23 MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman I want to make one 24 statement to the gentleman. 25 MR. SANCHEZ: Let me just say --

1 MS. HALE: No. 2 MR. LARSON: If you've got that much problem with 3 the kids, then I suggest the neighborhood better get together and find out where your kids are at night. 4 5 MR. SANCHEZ: Excuse me? 6 MR. LARSON: You just heard what I said. 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, enough. 8 MR. SANCHEZ: They're not the neighborhood kids, 9 some of them. 10 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Sanchez, thank you sir. 11 MR. SANCHEZ: We hope the City proves it is indeed 12 a best city in America. Thank you very much. 13 Mr. Fitzgerald would you like to CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 14 respond to the locks? 15 MR. FITZGERALD: That's okay. There's a dead bolt 16 lock on the top of that door without an exterior keyhole in 17 there so that door is completely secured. The windows around 18 the house are all impact resistant. We did have kids that 19 were breaking into the house. They broke the back windows of 20 the house out. It's been taken care of, the house is secure. 21 I will completely close those two windows so that the house

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Is there anybody else that would like to be heard on this case?

is completely tight and I am fully agreeable to do everything

22

23

24

25

that the City wants to do.

1 MR. JARRET

MR. JARRETT: I'll make a motion.

2

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, hearing none, is there

3

anybody that wants to make a motion on this case?

4

MR. JARRETT: I'll make a motion.

5

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thornie?

6

MR. JARRETT: In light of Mr. Fitzgerald has agreed

7

to what the City has asked for but also in light of the fact

8

that we had the professional testimony that there is issues

9

with the roof, I'm going to make a motion following the

10

City's recommendations.

11

However I'm going to put in my motion, I'm going to

And I would assume that as soon as that report is

12

cut that from thirty days to twenty days for an engineering

13

report to try to make some of the neighbors a little bit

14

happy with this moving right along.

15 16

available to the Building Official, that he's going to

17

determine whether or not further action by City staff is

18

warranted such as demolition or that if it can be repaired.

19

I'm sure the Building Official will do that. He's nodding

20

|| -

ves of course.

21

22

__

23

24

25

violations exist as alleged and that we order the property owner to repair, replace and secure the structure within the ten days for the pool and the public safety issues and the twenty days as regarding the engineering report on the roof

In light of all that, I move that we find the

1 as amended from the City's request. And that we order the City to make those repairs, replacements or securing of the 2 3 structure should the property owner fail to do so in a timely 4 manner. Such repair, replacement, security work is to be accomplished with proper City permits and by a licensed 5 6 contractor, should that be required. 7 MS. HALE: I'll second. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 8 We have a motion, we have a second 9 is there any further discussion before we vote on it? All in 10 favor say aye. 11 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any opposed? Hearing none, motion 13 carries. Thank you. 14 MR. HOLLAND: Good job, Thornie. 15 MR. PHILLIPS: Chairman, I've just got to put some 16 money in the meter real quick. 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay Mr. Phillips. 18 MS. HALE: Oh no, you want more? What do you want, 19 quarters? 20 MR. JARRETT: You didn't park in your special spot? 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Do you not, do you, Jack, do you 22 not have a windshield card for --23 MR. PHILLIPS: I couldn't find it. 24 MS. PRYOR: Alright, we still have an agenda. All

25

right.

1 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes, no, we're going to move 2 along. 3 MR. LARSON: Go for it. 4 MS. PRYOR: Do you want to take a break? 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, let's give them fifteen seconds to clear the auditorium and then we'll press on. 6 7 MS. PRYOR: Alright, alright, here we go. 8 [Mr. Phillips briefly left the meeting.] 9 10 3. Case: CE14070115 INDEX 11 KERR, MARY ANNE KERR, LOIS 12 201 SW 11 CT 13 MS. PRYOR: It's going to be on page five on your 14 agenda. 15 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: On page five? 16 MS. PRYOR: Page five. Case number CE14070115, 17 Inspector George Oliva, case address 201 Southwest 11 Court, 18 the owner is Mary Anne Kerr or Lois Kerr. 19 Notice of violation posted on property 7/25/14, 20 advertised in the Daily Business Review 8/1/14 and 8/8/14. 21 And certified mail notices as noted in your agenda. 22 INSPECTOR OLIVA: Good afternoon Board. George 23 Oliva, Building Inspector for the City. I'm going to be presenting case number CE14070115 on today's agenda page 24 25 four. This case was opened on July 1, 2014 by me following a request by the Fort Lauderdale Police Department for an unsafe structure and they were asking for the assistance of the Building Department. The following pictures that are going to be presenting to the records they were taken by me in July 1 and August 19, 2014 I would like to submit them also into the records.

[Inspector Oliva displayed photos of the property]

This is the pictures that I took on the 19. You

can see that the windows were removed from the property, that

the inside of the property, the conditions inside is

completely unsafe and unhealth.

That's another view from the interior of the property. There was no running water on the property; there is no electrical service to the property. The water meter was jumped and the electrical meter was jumped also. That's the jump that they did to the electrical meter. Florida Power and Light went, they cut the power off. And they went ahead they got some jumping cables and they put that in so we have to go back and request the power to be cut from the transformer.

That's another view of the interior of the property, you can see the condition. That's not a living habitat inside that property and I'm going to ask the detective to make a statement regarding what they found in the kitchen where there was drugs on the property. So, I'm

going to ask the detective to come forward. State your name.

DET. MANIATES: Hey guys, Detective Paul Maniates, Fort Lauderdale Police. We've had numerous problems with this property for years referenced to a lot of drug activity, numerous arrests, Ms. Mary Anne Kerr has been creating a lot of problems over the last several years at this property. I also have a few officers here that can testify of many times going to the property, making arrests for drug possessions and so forth. So this has been a long-time problem and I'm hoping that we can address this. I know the neighborhood's very concerned about this property. Thank you.

MR. WEYMOUTH: Thank you.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector for the City. At this moment, the City is asking the Board to find for the City that this property is unsafe and to order the owner of this building to demolish it in the next thirty days or the City will do so.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Inspector, can I ask you a question?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Yes sir.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: A few months ago we were using a calculation to determine the cost of --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: The evaluation criteria, we have stopped doing that.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Is that something,

counselor, that we no longer need to consider?

MS. HASAN: Rhonda Montoya Hasan with the City Attorney's office. I apologize it didn't hear the Chair's question.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's okay. I had asked the inspector months ago prior to your being on the Board, not that, it's just coincidental, but when cases were being presented there was also a calculation, evaluation calculation in help determining whether a building should be torn down or repaired.

Part of my concern -- we haven't heard from the respondent -- part of my concern is for the value of windows and doors we want to tear a house down? And quite frankly I hear what you're saying -- is the house free and clear? Is it in foreclosure? Is somebody, is the owner living there or is it being rented? Are you here to speak on this case ma'am? Okay. It's all right just hang on a second. Maybe, just let our legal staff answer my question, then we'll hear from you. Or actually, you know what, while she's looking that up, why don't you come on up and give us your side of what's going on here.

MS. KERR: My name is Mary Anne Kerr -- CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, into the microphone ma'am.

MS. KERR: My name is Mary Anne Kerr, the owner of the property.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MS. KERR: The property is paid for free and clear and has been for several years. Those pictures of that property did not look like that on the interior of half of the property. It did not become like that until after the crew came in to but the window is in, Plexiglas windows. They completely trashed the house, turned over my furniture, destroyed my property inside to put those windows in with no regards, disregards. And this officer over here he knows that my house has been in a disarray but it did not look like that inside at all times.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Did you pull permits to install those, did you pull permits to install those new windows and doors?

MS. KERR: The permits were -- new windows and doors?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You said that it's the guy who put in the windows that trashed the inside of your house.

MS. KERR: The City, the City --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector for the City. For the records I have the permit history of the property and yes, there was a permit issued to replace the window back in 2012. And I'm going to provide the proof to the Board.

MS. HALE: Window?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And was it closed? 1 Was that 2 permit closed out? 3 INSPECTOR OLIVA: No, it was left to expire. Ιt was never inspected, it was never closed. 4 5 MS. HALE: Did you say one window? 6 MS. KERR: Several windows. 7 MS. HALE: Four windows. 8 MS. KERR: Yes, and the contractor that was hired 9 to do the windows was paid a portion of the receipt of the 10 job, he was paid for the windows, the windows were brought 11 and received and left inside the house. They were inside the 12 house until they just came to board up the house as the City 13 [inaudible] to board up the house. The windows were still inside the house at that 14 15 time. The contractor had walked off the job because he did 16 not, he received first partial payment and then upon 17 completion of the job with the contract permit that he had 18 pulled to install the windows he was supposed to be further 19 paid afterwards to finish the job. He was paid a portion of 20 it and then upon completion he was to be repaid. 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Inspector, I can't discern 22 from this paper that you gave me, who pulled the permit? 23 MS. KERR: It was some contractor that my sister

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Was it an owner application or was

had hired.

24

2.5

it the contractor that pulled the permit? 1 2 INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector, 3 it was a contractor, the one that obtained the permit but 4 then he left it to expired without inspections. 5 MR. PHILLIPS: Mrs. Kerr, do you live in the house? 6 MS. KERR: I did until [inaudible] came and they 7 told me that my house is unsafe. Detective Maniates showed 8 up and said --9 MR. PHILLIPS: How many people live in the house? 10 MS. KERR: Excuse me? 11 MR. PHILLIPS: How many people are living in the 12 house? 13 MS. KERR: Nobody. No one lives in there now. 14 MR. PHILLIPS: I mean, how many were operating 15 living [inaudible] 16 MS. KERR: I was there, my friend Thomas Johnson 17 was there and his girlfriend René was there. That was it at 18 the end of the house. 19 MR. CROGNALE: Mr. Chair. 20 MS. KERR: Mr. Larry Kohs was there but he was 21 there, he had moved out over year before that. He wasn't 22 there. It was just three of us in the house. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Joe, you have a question? 24 MS. KERR: And the house does not look like that in 25 the disarray until after those people that were hired by the

```
1
    City to put the windows in.
 2
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: We're not concerned about the
 3
    condition of the interior --
              MS. KERR: And the windows that --
 4
 5
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: -- we're concerned about the
 6
    unsafe structure portion of the property.
 7
                         I have --
              MS. KERR:
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.
 8
 9
              MS. KERR: Okay.
10
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Joe, you have a question?
11
              MR. CROGNALE: Yes, she made a statement ma'am that
12
    the interior, the present condition of the interior was not
13
    like that --
14
              MS. KERR: No.
15
              MR. CROGNALE: -- until the contractor, you're
16
    stating that the contractor did this.
17
              MS. KERR: They were contracted by the City --
18
              MR. CROGNALE: Okay but now that's --
19
              MS. KERR: -- to put the Plexiglas in on the
20
    windows.
21
              MR. CROGNALE: -- that's the interior of this
22
   house.
23
              MS. KERR: Yes.
24
              MR. CROGNALE: The, in disarray. But the structure
25
    itself, that's what we're here for, just the --
```

1 MS. KERR: They -- they completely destroyed the 2 structure inside. They tore up the insides of the walls 3 where there was -- this officer he can tell you, he's been there before several times, but he can tell you that --4 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Was it somebody retained, let me 6 have very Inspector for a minute. Was it somebody retained 7 by the City to replace the windows? INSPECTOR OLIVA: No. 8 What we did was CHAIR WEYMOUTH: She's shaking her head yes, you're 9 10 telling me no. 11 INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector 12 for the City. The City obtained an emergency board-up a 13 permit from the City contractor to enclose all the openings 14 and protect the openings and there was a permit issued for 15 that effect which you have a copy there in the permit 16 history. 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I saw that. 18 INSPECTOR OLIVA: Right. But the windows and the -19 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Had the windows been done? 21 INSPECTOR OLIVA: We boarded up already as an 22 emergency due to the condition, the unsafe condition in the 23 property. And when we got there you can see in the July 24 inspections that the opening protection were gone. There was

a few windows left, most of them they were gone. And you

25

```
could see the condition inside where the electrical wires
 1
 2
    were running all over the place. There was no electrical
 3
    service, the meter [inaudible] was jumped.
 4
              So that's one of the points that I want to address
 5
   to the Board, the unsafe condition of the dwelling the way it
 6
    is now.
             It cannot be livable.
                                   And --
 7
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is Plexiglas a board-up?
                                                          Is that
 8
   what you get?
 9
              INSPECTOR OLIVA: Yes, that's the way that it was
10
   done.
11
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH:
                               Okay.
12
                         That wasn't the windows then.
              MS. HALE:
13
              INSPECTOR OLIVA:
                                The City of Fort Lauderdale
14
    changed the requirement for board up from wood to clear
15
    through --
16
                         Polycarbonate, a polycarbonate
              MS. HASAN:
17
   material.
18
              INSPECTOR OLIVA: Which is very hard to break in.
19
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So this is, for all intent -- I
20
    know it's not an impact window that it's an impact resistant
21
   board-up.
               I mean --
22
              INSPECTOR OLIVA:
                                Yes.
23
              MS. HASAN: Yes.
                                It meets the requirements of the
24
    Florida Building Code.
25
```

Right. And one of the reasons is

INSPECTOR OLIVA:

1 to protect the neighbors from any flying debris. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Did you put in special shutter 3 tracks to mount that or --4 INSPECTOR OLIVA: No, they are in two-by-fours from 5 the outside. Outside bolts. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Oh, I see, I see how you connect 6 7 it now okay, I got you. Okay. 8 Right, right. We don't do INSPECTOR OLIVA: 9 anything with the structure of the property we don't remove 10 the windows or anything. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. I see, yes, I see it. 12 INSPECTOR OLIVA: The windows were gone when we got 13 That's one of the issues that PD asked for help from 14 the Building Department. 15 MR. PHILLIPS: Does Mrs. Kerr have any builder that 16 can help her? 17 MS. KERR: Yes I do have [inaudible] 18 MR. PHILLIPS: I saw him inching towards the front. 19 MR. FOX: Hello, my name's Robert --20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Inspector, if you'll step -- if 21 you'll speak into the microphone and introduce yourself 22 please. 23 My name is Robert Fox. And what we're MR. FOX: 24 trying to do is purchase the house from Mary Anne. But the 25 board-up of the house, I believe that was done two days even

she was received a letter and she had two more days to go in there and take care of the matter. We just received a bunch of letters from Mary Anne and we started reading through them but when we were looking at the house to buy it, she showed me a letter and they had, she had a little more time to do that herself but they went in two days early.

And I have pictures of the windows that were inside the house. I mean, what we're trying to do though is purchase the house. She owns it out right. I have been on the phone with her mother; her mother is also an owner of the house. We have the cash to pay the violations, work an agreement out with them.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Do you have a contract with her right now that's been executed on both sides [inaudible]

Mr. FOX: I have a promissory note with her.

MR. PHILLIPS and CHAIR WEYMOUTH: A promissory

Mr. FOX: Well, we're just starting to buy the house now; we're trying to negotiate the liens right now we're trying to figure out --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Are you the mortgage holder?

MR. FOX: What, excuse me?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Are you the mortgage holder?

Mr. FOX: We're just trying to pay cash for the house; we're trying to buy the house.

1 MR. PHILLIPS: Well, you said you had a promissory 2 note, who signed the promissory note? 3 Mr. FOX: Mary. 4 MR. PHILLIPS: Did you borrow money from him? 5 MS. KERR: No. 6 MR. PHILLIPS: Why would you sign a note if you own 7 the property? 8 MS. KERR: For sale of the property. 9 MR. FOX: No, just a note, maybe not a promissory 10 note, just an agreement that she would sell us the house. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: A contract, or just --12 MR. FOX: Just a contract to buy the house, that's 13 all. Not a promissory note, I'm sorry. 14 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright. Do you have anything 15 else sir? 16 MR. FOX: No sir. 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Officer? 18 DETECTIVE MANIATES: No. I was just going to 19 address something but I think that you guys have --20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Ms. Kerr, do you have 21 anything more that you would like to add? 22 MS. KERR: I would like to be able to get some of 23 my personal belongings from inside the home. As far as 24 before the house was boarded up when this officer told me I 25 had to leave you said you have to go and I had the clothes on

1 my back and nothing. Twenty-five years in my home and I 2 can't take nothing out of it? And it was destroyed when they put those windows in they completely just pushed everything 3 aside. And I had no recourse. 4 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I think the reason that you're 6 probably out of there is more than just the windows. It's a 7 life safety issue. 8 MS. KERR: I understand about all that but is there 9 any reason why I have personal things in there, paperwork 10 that I need to, ID to get ID and --11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: But do you understand the concern 12 of the life safety issues that exist there? 13 MS. KERR: Yes. I understand that. I've lived 14 there for twenty-five years. 15 MR. PHILLIPS: Ms. Kerr, do you have a lawyer? 16 MS. KERR: No, I don't have a lawyer. 17 MR. PHILLIPS: Do you have an attorney? 18 MS. KERR: No. 19 MR. PHILLIPS: And you're selling the home? 20 MS. KERR: I would like to sell the home. 21 MR. PHILLIPS: How much you going to sell it for? 22 MR FOX: Fifteen thousand. 23 MR. PHILLIPS: Okay, Mrs. Kerr, your property's well assessed over, it's worth about two hundred thousand 24 25 dollars, why would you sell it for fifteen thousand? Are

```
1
    you, can I ask, Mrs. Kerr, do you have anyone who helps you
 2
    care of yourself?
 3
              MS. KERR:
                         No.
 4
              MR. PHILLIPS: Are you competent to make these
 5
    decisions?
 6
              MS. KERR: I think so.
 7
              MR. PHILLIPS: Why would you sell a home for
 8
    fifteen thousand?
 9
              MS. KERR:
                         To pay off all these liens and I --
              MR. PHILLIPS: No, no, but there's no liens on it
10
11
    right now.
12
              MS. KERR:
                         There's no fines, no --
13
              MR. FOX:
                        [inaudible] thousand dollars.
14
              MR. KAUFMAN: Her mother owned the house --
15
              MR. PHILLIPS: I'm just talking to Mrs. Kerr.
16
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Wait, this conversation's with
17
    Mrs. Kerr.
18
              [People speaking over each other]
19
              MS. KERR:
                         I'm missing something.
20
              MR. PHILLIPS: I'm speaking to Mrs. Kerr.
21
              MS. KERR:
                         Go ahead. There's no liens, no fines,
22
    no --
23
              MR. PHILLIPS: Well, Mrs. Kerr --
24
              MS. KERR:
                        Yes.
25
              MR. PHILLIPS: I know it's upsetting, I'm sorry
```

you've been through this. So you have a, there's a house in 1 2 there which is homesteaded. 3 MS. KERR: 4 MR. PHILLIPS: Can you sit down, sir? Mrs. Kerr. 5 You have a homestead. 6 MS. KERR: Um-hm [affirmative] 7 MR. PHILLIPS: No one's going to take your home 8 unless it's IRS or a mortgage and you have no mortgage on this. 10 MS. KERR: The City --11 MR. PHILLIPS: Okay, I know what's been done. 12 can try to work that out, but I'm hearing terms like 13 promissory notes and fifteen thousand contracts. Mr. Chairman, I'd like, I don't know, I'd like for her to come 14 15 back here on this since there's no electric and I'd like to 16 have her with legal representation to make sure she 17 understands the complexities and make sure her interests are 18 taken care. I don't like what I'm listening to. I know it's 19 an extraordinary request but --20 MS. KERR: [crying] thank you. 21 MR. PHILLIPS: There's the Broward County Bar 22 Association that provides free pro bono legal representation 23 to help people analyze what's going on. Before you, did you 24 sign any deeds to this property that have not been recorded?

25

MS. KERR:

No, no.

```
1
              MR. PHILLIPS: Sir, can I ask who this gentleman is
 2
    for the record, under oath?
 3
              MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, my name's Arthur Kaufman.
 4
              MR. PHILLIPS: And who are you?
 5
              MR. KAUFMAN:
                            I'm the buyer.
 6
              MR. PHILLIPS:
                             Okay.
 7
              MS. KERR:
                         They'd like to purchase my home.
 8
              MR. PHILLIPS:
                            Who's Mr. Fox?
 9
              MR. KAUFMAN: He works for me and he --
10
              MR. PHILLIPS: And what, are you in the business?
11
              MR. KAUFMAN: I have lots of money.
12
             MR. PHILLIPS: Okay.
13
                            And the City, I have recorded
              MR. KAUFMAN:
14
    conversations where they say it's fifty dollars a day and it
15
    keeps accruing. So she doesn't have the money --
16
              MR. PHILLIPS:
                            Okay.
17
              MR. KAUFMAN:
                            She had some legal problems.
18
    into her house two days early, they steal her things --
19
              MR. PHILLIPS: I'm not interested in that. Do you
20
21
                            The City is ripping her off.
             MR. KAUFMAN:
22
              MR. PHILLIPS:
                            Okay.
23
              MR. KAUFMAN:
                            So she wanted to get out. She needed
   money, her mother didn't want to put up any money. We've
25
    spoken to her mother who was very sound and alert so she says
```

```
1
   I want fifteen thousand so the mother knows exactly what it
2
   was worth. She has no place to go. The mother doesn't want
 3
   to help. The City doesn't want to help. They really want
 4
   the house.
             MR. PHILLIPS: The City doesn't want the house.
5
6
                           Well, they threw her out.
             MR. KAUFMAN:
7
             MR. PHILLIPS: Do you have a signed contract for
   sale?
8
9
                           I have a promissory note that's
             MR. KAUFMAN:
10
   signed.
11
             MR. PHILLIPS: What does the promissory notes say?
12
             MR. KAUFMAN:
                           That once we determine how much she
13
   owes the City we'll buy it.
14
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: For the amount that's owed to the
   City.
15
16
             MR. KAUFMAN: Correct. And giving her fifteen
17
   thousand. And we've been working with the town and I've been
18
    jerked around for the last week and I went to Lee whoever is
19
    the person I have it upstairs --
20
              MR. PHILLIPS: City Manager? Lee Feldman?
21
             MR. KAUFMAN: Lee, yes. I was going to be coming
22
   next week with the media because they told me to come here at
23
    nine o'clock today. I lost three thousand dollars and the
24
    girl Sharon thought it was a joke.
```

MR. PHILLIPS: You lost three thousand dollars on

25

1 what? 2 MR. KAUFMAN: I was here all day today. 3 MR. PHILLIPS: How'd you lose three thousand? 4 MR. KAUFMAN: Because they told me to be here at 5 nine o'clock. 6 MR. PHILLIPS: Did it cost you three thousand 7 dollars? 8 MR. KAUFMAN: Absolutely. 9 MR. PHILLIPS: How? Who did you pay three thousand 10 to? 11 MR. KAUFMAN: My guys get paid to work. They 12 didn't work, I still lose the money. 13 MR. CROGNALE: Mr. Chair? 14 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Are you done Jack? For now? 15 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. 16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Joe? 17 MR. CROGNALE: Someplace in there, there's an answer to why we're diverting from an unsafe house to an 18 19 ownership situation right now. 20 MR. KAUFMAN: We want to clean it up. 21 MR. CROGNALE: How we deviate, how we deviate, it's 22 still an unsafe structure. That's the only thing we should 23 be asking the respondent for her protection is that's our 24 duty. 25 MR. KAUFMAN: And we're going to make it, and we're

going to buy it and make it safe. MR. CROGNALE: It's an unsafe structure. And they have, and the City has made a recommendation to the Board and the Board has not even got close to that yet because we're discussing ownership. MR. KAUFMAN: If she wants to sell it it's really not the Board to determine what she does. MR. CROGNALE: No.

MR. KAUFMAN: If she wants to sell it to us for dollar, that's legal and we can fix it up.

MR. CROGNALE: The only thing that we're concerned with is it a safe structure or is it unsafe.

MR. KAUFMAN: And we're going to make it safe.

MR. CROGNALE: We're not in the legal advice department.

MR. KAUFMAN: And we're going to make it safe.

MR. CROGNALE: Well, that's, the Board will rule on that.

MR. KAUFMAN: Okay.

MR. PHILLIPS: You know, Mr. Chairman, Joe, you're right, I digress. I just sense from this woman's pain, and we are here to do the right thing. I don't care what we call it. This woman is crying, she's in pain, it's paid off. I don't think she knows that City liens cannot foreclose a property. It's homestead. You can't foreclose. The City

can't take your home, alright?

2.5

MS. KERR: [inaudible]

MR. PHILLIPS: Now, they can knock it down, but that they can't take it. But this woman is so fearful and crying right now, I don't think she's capable of understanding the procedures here, I really don't.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, and I --

MR. PHILLIPS: No Mrs. Kerr, please stay here. So I think, I'd like to postpone this for a month. I'd like her, Mrs. Kerr, to get an attorney to review this at the Broward Bar Association and let her come back in a competent manner to understand this.

MR. HOLLAND: Um-hm [affirmative] Is this the Attorney Ad Litem program?

MR. PHILLIPS: It could be. I'm not giving any legal advice.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: It, and that, and, but it's, we're drifting into an area that we should not be ruling on. I echo your sentiments, I have the same concerns. I think that this woman hasn't been given a fair chance to try to dispose of the property which she probably may or may not get a significant amount more. But I hear what you're saying and I echo it. I think that we need to come back to what's in front of us. I had passed the City Attorney for something that concerns me because I think because it's missing windows

and doors and they're using jumper cables to get power inside 1 doesn't take it to the level of demolishing the structure 2 which is what the City's asking. 3 4 DERECTIVE MANIATES: Let me also add one thing also that you don't know. 5 6 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes sir. 7 DETECTIVE MANIATES: That for the last year and a 8 half, this property has been under the Nuisance Abatement 9 jurisdiction. 10 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Has been what? I'm sorry? 11 DETECTIVE MANIATES: Nuisance Abatement. 12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 13 DETECTIVE MANIATES: For a year and a half. 14 just want you to know that also. And September 11 is the 15 next meeting and it's probably going to be another six months 16 added to it. 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Yes ma'am. 18 MS. HASAN: I wanted to answer your questions Mr. 19 Two things, my review of the file because we always Chair. 20 do an updated title search right before the hearings. 21 is no mortgage on the property, there are outstanding taxes 22 owed for 2012 and 2013. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: In the amount of --24 MR. LARSON: Can't be that much, can't be that

25

much.

MS. HASAN: Let's see. I don't believe I have 1 2 I just, we just pulled --3 About seventeen about --MS. HALE: CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Seventeen hundred? 4 5 MS. HALE: Twenty-seven. 6 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Twenty-seven hundred? 7 MS. HASAN: Let's see, for, well, for 2014 it was 8 sixteen hundred. Let me look and see if I have the other 9 For, yes I do, for 2012 the amount due and owing is 10 one thousand, four hundred and fifty-nine dollars and ninety 11 cents. For 2013, the amount due and owing is one thousand, 12 six hundred and eighty dollars and thirty-six cents. 13 MS. HALE: Three thousand then. 14 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Hm? 15 MS. HALE: Three thousand then. 16 MS. HASAN: Three thousand, one hundred and forty 17 dollars and twenty-six cents. 18 MR. PHILLIPS: That's not that much, that's not 19 that much. 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I --21 MS. HASAN: No, I know but I was just answering the 22 Chair's --23 MR. PHILLIPS: Mrs. Kerr --24 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And right now City has a lien on 25 it clicking away at fifty dollars a day?

MS. HASAN: My understanding is that there are a number of code enforcement cases on the property. Again, I didn't bring that with me because it isn't directly germane to the Board's jurisdiction but to get to your final question about the lack of safety issue on this property. It's not just about the windows, it's certainly about the electrical system that is completely unsafe because it's been disconnected and bypassed. That is a health hazard. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I agree with you a hundred

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I agree with you a hundred percent, but that doesn't take it to the level of tearing the house down. I think the detectives are absolutely correct, this house needs to be abandoned until it can get corrected.

MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And cutting the power off at the pole instead of the mast head will do that.

MR. LARSON: That's what I was going to bring up.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: There are certain things to rush to judgment, she's going to be the victim in this in my personal opinion. Somebody can come in there, buy it for fifteen thousand dollars, put ten thousand dollars' worth of windows in it, get an electrician to hook it up and flip it for whatever, what's the value on it?

MR. PHILLIPS: And then go to the Code Board to reduce the liens to a third --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Absolutely. So --

1 MR. PHILLIPS: Where are you living now? 2 MS. KERR: On the street. With a friend. 3 MR. PHILLIPS: This is bad. 4 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And this is something that's just 5 spiraled. 6 MS. KERR: My home did not look like the inside; it 7 was not trashed like that until they came, the City was hired 8 to put up those windows on the house. They completely destroyed everything inside in order to get to those windows to put up those boards and run the big bars through there to 10 11 put those windows, Plexiglas things in. 12 MR. PHILLIPS: Do we know the name of the City 13 engineer that came and did this, that that she claims --14 MS. KERR: They were hired from the City and I --15 MR. PHILLIPS: -- the City contractor? 16 MS. KERR: The woman said it was a new company. I 17 spoke to the lady and I don't know who she was. 18 MS. HASAN: Your honor, the detectives certainly --19 MR. PHILLIPS: I'm not an honor. 20 MS. HASAN: Yes, sorry. 21 You are to us. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 22 MS. HASAN: Yes, second nature. The detectives can 23 certainly testify, I don't know if they did before since I 24 was at the back at the beginning of the hearing. But, that 25 the condition of the home inside was that way before the

board-up.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

MS. KERR:

It wasn't that bad Paul and you know it. DETECTIVE MANIATES: Also speaking with one of the officers here. There was no windows on the property whatsoever for probably like a week, week and half, maybe two weeks before that was even boarded up or Plexiglased. Okay, so for like two weeks it was wide open.

> CHAIR WEYMOUTH: How long --

DETECTIVE MANIATES: There was people sleeping in there, they're being -- let me speak please.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Detective, how long has she been out of the house?

DETECTIVE MANIATES: She's been out of the house now probably I'd say about a month now, roughly about a month.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Have you had any problems since she's been out of the house?

DETECTIVE MANIATES: Oh yes. We've had arrests from inside, people, friends of hers going inside, breaking into the house, slipping in the house and we've made several arrests already from it.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector for the City. I went to do an inspection yesterday and somebody broke already into the house.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Somebody had broken into the

23

22

24

25

house?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Yes sir. I report the break-in to Detective Jorge Maura from PD.

DETECTIVE MANIATES: There's like literally fifteen people that come and go every month into this place. Trying to get their drugs and so forth back and forth. It's nonstop. And if you want to hear from these two officers we can spend all day doing this.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Oh, I believe your testimony Detective.

DETECTIVE MANIATES: And I appreciate everybody's feelings on the property owner but I want you, to make sure you understand completely, I went out of my way to get Ms.

Kerr help. A year ago, a year and a half ago, I tried to get her into a program. I got her in it, I did a lot of work to get her in. She bailed out after two, three weeks, okay, and she doesn't want help and it's nonstop for the past year and I know you have feelings and I appreciate that. But this is not going to stop.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, building Inspector for the City. If you see the pictures that I took on July 1, you can see that the windows are already missing in the property. The condition inside the property, the way it is, it's completely unsafe and that's on July 5. When the contractor went to do the emergency board up that was after

the long weekend.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I've got another question for Ms. Kerr. Ms. Kerr, how long have you owned or lived at this house? It may not be the same -- speak into the microphone please ma'am.

MS. KERR: Yes, I know. December of 1990. Foreclosed on the house then.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Can I ask you why the windows are out of the house?

MS. KERR: Well, they came one time and told me that, something about a window and they needed to be replaced. My sister hired this contractor, and like I said he pulled a permit, he was paid a portion of the job, he was paid for the windows, the windows were brought and left at the house. They were in the living room in front of that wide window that was there. They were there as of the time they came to put the windows in.

The City hired the contractors to put their windows in, the board-up process. The contractor was hired, he took all the windows out of the building and then he said there was something about some different type of permit he needed to get on to. He just took off and went parts unknown without ever finishing the job on the windows.

MR. HOLLAND: So it's safe to say a window contractor there were some money issues and he wasn't unable

to continue, so the work --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KERR: He was paid for part of his job and he was --

MR. HOLLAND: The work stopped, left the remaining material and walked for lack of funds --

MS. KERR: And that was it, he walked off with the windows and left the windows that way and put up the storm shutters and the house has had storm shutters on it since.

MR. HOLLAND: It's straight monetary hardship but our job is the safety to the neighbors and the community.

Well, let me just say something to MR. PHILLIPS: Mr. Kaufman, okay? And I'm not picking on Mr. Kaufman, but if there's a bank, for example, that would loan money, they're going to require the money to fix it. There's a lot of equity in this place but a bank may not loan it because of the City liens. If this woman has no capability of financing this -- and I'm not commenting on the deal of the dollar --[inaudible] that's not up to me. I just want to make sure she knows what's understanding. And if Mr. Kaufman or, and his colleague Mr. -- or others have a solution to this maybe a month or two down the line it can be brought to us so it's not demolished. But I think at this stage what I've heard about the contractor ripping her off and the City sent someone to board it up and the contractor to board it up didn't put the windows in that were inside because they

1	couldn't.
2	MS. KERR: No.
3	MR. PHILLIPS: I don't think she knows what's going
4	on.
5	MS. KERR: They had not, they weren't sent there to
6	put the windows that I already had in. They were sent to
7	board it up the City, the way the City was per their boarding
8	process, with the Plexiglas.
9	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Do you have insurance on this
10	property?
11	MS. KERR: No sir.
12	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. All right. Is there any
13	other question
14	MS. KERR: I would like to be able to get some of
15	my personal belongings from the home as far as several things
16	that
17	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, and, I'll ask that question
18	in a minute. Alright.
19	MS. KERR: There's no way to get in there.
20	MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You have a question for Ms. Kerr?
22	MR. LARSON: No, I have a question I'd like to
23	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You can go ahead and sit down Ms.
24	Kerr.
25	MR. LARSON: I've got some misgivings on this whole

_ _

thing and I agree basically with the police. I've always supported them and with what they have to go through and I understand there's some things and he's done what he can do to help. But --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Larson, if I can interrupt you for just a, just a quick second. I'd like to hear from all of the people that --

MR. LARSON: I'm going to be very, I'm going to be very quick, probably about thirty seconds or less. I would like to, I would hope that we could have a motion that we can table this until next week or next month so that we can give this lady time to get some legal representation and get a better handle on what we've got.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MR. KAUFMAN: If the Board is looking to take care of her, I just met her today for the first time.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: The Board is not looking to take care of her.

MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. So --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright.

MR. KAUFMAN: If you're saying another month, for the next month, are they going to stop fifty dollars a day or is that going to keep accruing that goes to the City so they can get more money? I'm in business to make money. This girl has no place to live after she leaves here. Is the

Board going to get her a place to live? 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: The Board is not here to hear that, they're here to consider the items that are before 3 them. 4 5 MR. KAUFMAN: Okay, well, so you want to wait a 6 month so she's living on the streets for the next month? 7 have fifteen thousand in cash to give her today because what 8 is the Board going to do after she leaves here? Or they don't care. 10 MS. HALE: So what's she going to do with fifteen 11 thousand? 12 It's going to cost me fifty thousand MR. KAUFMAN: 13 dollars to fix it up you're saying it's worth --14 MR. CROGNALE: What does that have to do with the 15 safeness of life and limb of that house? 16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And that's why we're drifting off-17 topic. 18 MR. CROGNALE: What does that have to do with it? 19 MR. KAUFMAN: We're going to redo the whole house. 20 MR. CROGNALE: Like, this is getting to be a soap 21 opera now. MR. KAUFMAN: No, we're going to fix it up where 22 23 it's livable and sellable. 24 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Are there any other 25 questions for this respondent? Thank you. Is there anybody

1

else that wants to be heard on this matter? Alright. 1 2 there any other comments by the --3 MR. PHILLIPS: Is it possible the City can go back 4 and put plywood up over every window and the doors so it 5 cannot be gotten into? Well, anything can be broken into but 6 can the windows and doors be totally boarded up? 7 INSPECTOR OLIVA: The new system that we have to 8 board up --9 [People speaking over each other] 10 MS. PRYOR: Sir, hang on one moment. If you, have 11 you been sworn in? 12 MR FOX: Yes. 13 MS. PRYOR: Are you wishing to testify? Come on 14 up. 15 I'm sure they could plywood it up. They MR. FOX: 16 charged her --17 MS. PRYOR: State your name for the record. 18 Mr. FOX: My name is Robert Fox. They charged her 19 six thousand to put the Plexiglas up, I'm sure they'd be 20 willing for another five thousand to put plywood up, I'm 21 sure. 22 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 23 Mr. FOX: That just keeps coming off her money that 24 she's going to get. 25 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Let's get away from hypotheticals.

MR. HOLLAND: Just, just --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Yes, George Oliva, Building
Inspector for the City. The reason that we use the plastic
it's a way for the Police Department so they can see through
to see who is inside the property. When they approach the
property at midnight, when everything is dark, they can flash
a light through the plastic and they could see inside is
anybody inside the property. That's one of the reasons.

I've been working with the SRT and the Police

Department for the last seven years in vacant properties

going there at midnight with them and asses the [inaudible]

of the properties.

[People speaking over each other]

I want to say, the reason that we had an emergency board-up and only because the unsafe condition inside the property and the electrical and to prevent trespassing also is because we're under the hurricane season right now. If we get hit by high wind, that property, the roof is going to go into the whole neighborhood.

MR. WEYMOUTH: Okay.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: It doesn't matter if it's not damaged by the weather it's not damaged by the rain, it's completely open and those opening protection if they were removed would not prevent the roof not to blow away. That's

what I'm trying to explain.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Inspector, before you walk off, and if you don't know the answer hopefully maybe the, someone else at the Building Department does. Is there a way to get Ms. Kerr into her property to remove some personal effects? Not take up residency, not to spend the night, but go in there for an hour or two, collect any kind of personal belongings that she wants to take out of there.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: That would be under the Police Department.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So that's something that can be arranged with her?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: [inaudible] Right, with the detective and the police officers.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Okay. And how would she go about doing that? Did she? Oh, there she is, I'm sorry.

INSPECTOR OLIVA: She just need to call the detective, set an appointment to be there and we will have somebody open the property so she can remove whatever needs to be removed.

DETECTIVE MANIATES: Ms. Kerr does have my office number so if she calls I can set that up for her and make sure it works, okay?

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Very good. Great. Thank you Detective.

opportunities for somebody in the legal world to hold your hand and help you and be sure that you're doing the right thing. You're being influenced by --

MS. KERR: The City.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: -- a lot of emotional things and Jack, you can maybe expand on it a little bit, but again, we have to stay on what the City he has brought to us. But understand that I don't think it's as dire as you may think it is just for the very reasons that Mr. Phillips has touched on that you've got homestead protections and things like that. But you need to address it. You need --

MR. PHILLIPS: It's not, I don't think it's proper for me, and I'm not going to suggest any lawyer or this, like judges, some say ma'am or sir, you might want to go seek independent legal advice. And there are some sources out there. Catholic Charities has lawyers, Broward County Bar Association, I'm sure these officers, they deal with lawyers all the time. Some of them in favorable situations. And but, you could, if you get, Mrs. Kerr, if you find a lawyer he may or she may very well negotiate a solution with Mr. Kaplan. Mr. Kaplan is it?

MR. KAUFMAN: Kaufman.

MR. PHILLIPS: Kaufman, I'm sorry, Mr. Fox, that maybe something could be worked out. But I'm personally hesitant to order demolition under the circumstances until I

think you get someone to, as the Chairman said, hold your hand and give you some advice. So these officers seem to have a relationship with you. I really think you should let them bring you to the Bar Association or some other lawyer and independently speak to someone.

MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman?

DETECTIVE MANIATES: Mr. Phillips, all due respect once again --

MR. PHILLIPS: You don't want to do anything.

DETECTIVE MANIATES: I stepped out of my way to help this woman many, many times and each time she failed me. Okay, so as far as getting her help, I don't know what else more I can do. I've done a lot for her so far.

MR. PHILLIPS: I think we're at the stage now she knows the house is going to be demolished, Mr. Kaufman would like to buy it. If that transaction could be structured in a way she understands it, this might be the final piece to get the place fixed, to get her a on straight track if that ever will be and to bring some conclusion to this. So I just see it as a possible, as Churchill said, the beginning of the end.

MR. HOLLAND: Yes, we don't seem to have the hurricane threat as much because we have some board-up. Can that buy us time?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: The City at this moment feels

okay the way the property's at this moment as long as there's 1 2 nobody living inside. 3 MR. HOLLAND: Right. 4 INSPECTOR OLIVA: And we can keep the people from 5 going into the property and staying there because then once 6 again that's going to become another fire hazard. 7 regarding the opening protection that we place on the 8 property, we did it to protect the neighbors. Remember, 9 hurricane season, roof goes bye-bye it's going to land on 10 somebody else house and then the City will be liable for --11 That roof's not going nowhere. MR. LARSON: 12 INSPECTOR OLIVA: -- those damage. 13 MR. HOLLAND: Right. 14 INSPECTOR OLIVA: -- Because we didn't did what we 15 were supposed to do. But at this moment I think the City is 16 willing to wait thirty days to see --17 MS. HALE: I don't see anything about a roof --

INSPECTOR OLIVA: -- which way she's going to go with these issues and we'll be back on thirty days.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CROGNALE: So the City's willing to modify its request Mr. Oliva? You're willing to modify your request for an additional thirty days?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: I'm willing to modify the request for additional thirty days to let the procedure go through with her trying to get a lawyer, legal advice, I can see

1 there is a couple influence on her, so. Yes. MR. LARSON: Will you give her thirty days? 2 3 MS. HALE: [inaudible] 4 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. Thornie, you had a 5 question. 6 MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman? 7 MR. JARRETT: Yes, if, I just have a general 8 question for the Board and also directed to the Police 9 If we order a demolition, if we find the 10 violations exist and we order a demolition but we used a time 11 period of sixty days, okay, that would give her an 12 opportunity to seek legal advice. 13 And my question for the Detective, if we give this 14 order for demolition and we declare the house unsafe, then 15 you have the full right to arrest any individual in the 16 house, correct? 17 DETECTIVE MANIATES: We have that right right now. 18 MR. HOLLAND: You have that anyway. 19 [People speaking at the same time] 20 DETECTIVE MANIATES: Correct. 21 MR. JARRETT: Would you be happy with that? 22 DETECTIVE MANIATES: I would be happy but I think 23 sixty days is too long; I think thirty would be appropriate. 24 MR. JARRETT: Okay. Thank you. 25 DETECTIVE MANIATES: Thank you.

1 MS. HALE: Mr. Chair? 2 MR. LARSON: Mr. Chairman, I'm willing to go along with thirty days. 3 4 MS. HALE: Mr. Chair, I'm sorry but I don't see that we're talking about roof. You're talking about a roof, 5 6 but here on the things that have been presented to me I don't 7 see anything --8 MR. HOLLAND: I don't think he talked roof. 9 MS. HALE: Yes, he said it might go flying. 10 [People speaking over each other] 11 INSPECTOR OLIVA: No, no, we're not talking about 12 the roof being unsafe. We're talking about the property being 13 unsafe due to the windows were removed. So that means it's 14 completely open, we get high winds, uplift of the roof and it goes into the neighbor's house. And that's one thing that we 15 16 have to be aware too, that's what --17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: [inaudible] this property. 18 MS. HALE: What happened to these plastic windows? Are they not they are? Are the doors not boarded up? 19 20 INSPECTOR OLIVA: Those are --21 DETECTIVE MANIATES: There was no windows in the 22 property, no windows whatsoever so when they did the 23 Plexiglas to board it up, that's what he's talking about. 24 That will protect and prevent, right. 25 MS. HALE: Right. Now tell me, are the doors

1 unsafe? Do they need to be boarded up? How are the people 2 getting in then if they're not going through these Plexiglas 3 windows? 4 DETECTIVE MANIATES: They do ply their way into the doors, into the front door and the kitchen doors. Yes they 5 6 do, they do break in. 7 MR. WEYMOUTH: Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: Well, that's something we'd 8 9 encounter in all board-up orders, theoretically. 10 MS. HALE: Well, yes. Board them up again, board 11 them up some more. 12 MR. HOLLAND: Well, I'm comfortable with the 13 Detective's recommendation on time. And again thirty isn't 14 not necessarily bulldozers at the thirty-first days. So I 15 think if anything materializes with this opportunity and some 16 legal counsel, I think it needs to be done fast because this 17 has been going on way too long and we've got an obligation to the community and I'm comfortable with the thirty days and 18 19 the discretion of the City's judgment how to handle that when the time comes. 20 21 MR. LARSON: Make the motion.

MR. HOLLAND: So I'll move it if, unless anybody

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well, let's see if there's any

more dialogue before you make the motion.

22

23

24

25

else has --

1 MR. CROGNALE: Yes, question. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Yes sir? 3 MR. KAUFMAN: I just want to get it on the record. 4 So the Board is stating that she can't sell the property --CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 5 No. 6 MR. KAUFMAN: -- where we could fix it and make it 7 livable. 8 DERECTIVE MANIATES: That's not what he was saying. 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You're about ready to hear what 10 the Board's saying, so --11 MR. KAUFMAN: Okay, but I just want to -- I'm 12 willing to buy it right now, pay all the taxes, make it 13 livable, salable, and the Board's stopping me from doing 14 that. 15 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That is not correct. The Board is 16 not worried about who owns the property, the Board is 17 concerned about the condition of the property and it doesn't 18 matter who owns it. If you were to buy it out on the 19 courthouse steps right now we are still concerned about the 20 liability that this property brings to the neighborhood. 21 MR. KAUFMAN: We would start working on it next 22 week. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And it doesn't matter who owns it. 24 MR. KAUFMAN: We would start working on it next 25 week.

```
MR. HOLLAND: And to answer your question, even
 1
 2
   though the order goes in, regardless who owns it, it could be
 3
   demolished in the event somebody doesn't follow through.
   may find something more involved once you get into things and
 4
   the numbers don't work. And we --
 5
             MR. KAUFMAN: But if she can't get an attorney and
 6
 7
   can't get legal aid --
             MR. CROGNALE: Mr. Chair?
 8
 9
              [People speaking over each other]
10
             MR. LARSON:
                           [inaudible] the house, you got so much
   money. Why don't you pay her more for it?
11
12
            MR. HOLLAND: [inaudible] safety of the community.
13
   You have time in that period.
14
             MR. WEYMOUTH: Alright, let's --
             MR. CROGNALE: Mr. Chair?
15
16
                           Say it again.
             MR. KAUFMAN:
                               Back to bringing closure to this.
17
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH:
    The Board is not weighing in on your deal.
18
19
             MR. LARSON:
                          No.
20
                              The Board is weighing in to the
              MR.
                  WEYMOUTH:
    condition of the property so you can --
21
22
              MR.
                  PHILLIPS:
                              My comment, Mr. Chairman,
23
    Kaufman, and not ruling today, was to allow her to get
24
    representation to understand what's going on. And if she has
```

still

doesn't do

it

and

it's

25

representation

and

she

demolished, I'm just concerned that she -- I don't think she 1 understands what's going on, that's why I wanted to continue 2 it. And I'd like to City can bolt stronger plywood over the 3 back and front door -- if those are the only two entry points 4 5 -- to do that and let her come back and if she's back in a month and she doesn't have legal representation, I don't care what her deal is with Mr. Kaufman. Or Bank of America --7 8 MR. KAUFMAN: Well. how about she sees 9 psychiatrist? Let him give an evaluation. 10 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That is not -- let's get back on topic, alright? 11 12 MR. CROGNALE: Here's my comment -- let's get on 13 topic. 14

[People speaking over each other]

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PHILLIPS: [inaudible] a motion that we find the violations exist and we order to --

> MR. HOLLAND: There he goes again.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, there is no motion yet, no. there is no motion.

MR. PHILLIPS: Excuse me, the motion I would like to consider is we continue this thirty days, let her come back here. If she's seen a lawyer if she hasn't seen a lawyer, come back here and if we're in the same position I don't have a hesitation to enter the thirty-day demolition. But at least we'll feel comfortable that she would have had

1	an opportunity to get some independent representation rather
2	than walk out with fifteen thousand in her pocket.
3	MR. CROGNALE: How did we get involved in having
4	our conversation go so far off-topic?
5	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Joe, Joe, he's, Joe he's making a
6	motion; allow him to finish making his motion.
7	MR. CROGNALE: Okay.
8	MR. PHILLIPS: I would like to move that we
9	continue this matter for thirty days to enable Ms. Kerr to
10	come back here and have the opportunity to seek legal
11	representation and to revisit this thing in thirty days.
12	MR. LARSON: Second.
13	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: We have a motion, is there a
14	second?
15	MR. LARSON: Second.
16	MS. HALE: He seconded.
17	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Huh?
18	MS. HALE: He seconded.
19	MR. LARSON: Second.
20	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: You second it?
21	MR. LARSON: I second it. All right, any further
22	discussion?
23	MR. JARRETT: I have a question. Jack, did you, in
24	your motion are you finding the violations exist?
25	MR. PHILLIPS: No.
	II

```
MR. JARRETT: No?
 1
 2
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. We have a motion, a second,
 3
    any other conversation?
 4
              MR. LARSON: Just extending it, that's all.
 5
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All in favor say aye.
 6
              MS. HALE; MR. LARSON; MR. PHILLIPS: Aye.
 7
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: All opposed say no.
 8
              MR. HOLLAND; MR. CROGNALE; MR. JARRETT; CHAIR
 9
    WEYMOUTH:
              No.
10
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, motion doesn't carry.
11
              MR. KAUFMAN: I just want it on the record --
12
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Would somebody else like to make,
13
    would somebody else like to make, would somebody else like to
14
   make a motion?
15
              MR. HOLLAND: Yes I would as I said earlier.
16
              MR. LARSON: I'd like to hear the how many no's
17
    there were and how many yeses.
18
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright. If you call the roll
19
    please madam clerk.
20
              MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Holland?
21
              MR. HOLLAND:
                            No.
22
              MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Crognale?
23
              MR. CROGNALE:
24
              MS. OPPERLEE: Ms. Hale?
25
              MS. HALE: Yes.
```

1	MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Jarett?
2	MR. JARRETT: No.
3	MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Larson?
4	MR. LARSON: Yes.
5	MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Phillips?
6	MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
7	MS. OPPERLEE: Chair Weymouth?
8	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No.
9	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay, would somebody else like to
10	make a motion?
11	MR. HOLLAND: Yes.
12	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Mr. Holland?
13	MR. HOLLAND: I move that we find the violations
14	exist as alleged and that we order the property owner to
15	demolish the structure within thirty days and we order the
16	City to demolish the structure should the property owner fail
17	to timely demolish. Such demolition is to be accomplished by
18	a licensed demolition contractor pursuant to a City issued
19	demolition permit. And what I'll I'll elaborate if we get
20	a second.
21	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright. We have a motion, is
22	there a second?
23	MR. CROGNALE: Second.
24	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, what
25	MR. HOLLAND: What I wanted to elaborate on is the

1	parallel concept of working with her, with legal aid, with
2	the potential contract with you gentlemen during that period
3	and if something substantive can be presented to the Building
4	Department, they have the ability to have a stay on that
5	demolition.
6	MR. KAUFMAN: I just want it on the record. This
7	detective will testify, Ms. Kerr is sometimes nowhere to be
8	found
9 .	MR. WEYMOUTH: Sir, we're in a we're in a
10	motion.
11	[People speaking over each other]
12	MR. KAUFMAN: She's nowhere to be found. So if you
13	demolish the home I'm going to sue the City because the
14	detective will tell you for months they can't find her. So
15	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Will you turn off the microphone
16	please.
17	MR. KAUFMAN: It's on tape.
18	CHAIR WEYMOUTH: We've brought it back to the dais,
19	the conversation has been closed.
20	MR. HOLLAND: My point is, these things can be
21	worked in parallel but we need
22	DETECTIVE MANIATES: I also have an address, I'm
23	sorry, I do have an address where she's staying at right now.
24	So you guys do know that I do know where she's at.
25	MR. HOLLAND: If that information can be relayed

that would be appreciated. 1 2 Ms. KERR: [inaudible] 3 DETECTIVE MANIATES: Why don't you guys step outside for now? 4 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: So, so, we have --6 MR. HOLLAND: If absolutely nothing happens, we 7 have what we need in place and the City's, I'm comfortable 8 with the City's discretion to allow something to maybe occur 9 and maybe several things to occur and give these other 10 gentlemen an opportunity to intervene hopefully in a more 11 balanced way. 12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is there any more discussion? 13 MR. CROGNALE: Yes. I have a comment. 14 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Joe? 15 MR. CROGNALE: As I said, we've drifted off-topic 16 to an unsafe structure into a public nuisance. And we can't 17 legislate a public nuisance. And this is what the 18 conversation has been about is the livability and the public 19 nuisance that this piece of property became. But we're not 20 in that game. 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 22 MR. CROGNALE: So the only one that makes sense 23 right now is Mr. Holland's motion to do something concrete 24 within our realm. 25 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Whose? With Mr. Holland's motion?

can request that someone look into this woman's competency or

have someone appointed, a special lawyer, like a special, not prosecutor, but to look into this considering that the woman I think is, there are questions of her competency.

Jack and I think we need to stay focused on what's before us and I have the same concerns and feelings you do. I will add my two cents to this case which I think are on point or least I'm going to try to, if not, you guys can remind me that I'm off-topic. To me, the fact that she's getting power to the house by use of jumper cables doesn't, I think that's a life safety issue, I think that that can be addressed by terminating the electric at the pole.

Beyond that, I don't see the condition of this property bringing this property to the level of it needing to be demolished. And I will tell you I am not going to vote for demolition of the property. I think it's unsafe, I think the Police Department has a tremendous nuisance over there. That's the problem of the current owner and not the property. And to demolish the property and devalue the property because of the unstable mental condition possibly or whatever the, maybe it's just not being informed. Regardless, you take the ownership out of it and you look at it, I don't see the property going down to the level of destroying what's there. So that's my position.

MR. HOLLAND: We often deal with multiple safety

1 issues with this Board again, safety first. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Structural. 3 MR. HOLLAND: Not just structural. We have many 4 cases were we have dealt with board-up and board-up is essential to the safety of the neighborhood. 5 6 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I agree. 7 MR. HOLLAND: When you get elements coming in and 8 bad things happen. 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I agree a hundred percent. 10 MR. HOLLAND: And I think that's been demonstrated 11 here. And, and --12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I think we need to support the 13 Police Department and board this thing up tight like 14 everybody's talking about. 15 MR. HOLLAND: Right. 16 But that doesn't mean demolishing CHAIR WEYMOUTH: 17 of an improved piece of property. 18 MR. CROGNALE: We have the motion and a second. 19 MR. HOLLAND: And I think in the need that none of 20 the resources come through as planned, many times and 21 recently we've had contractors with reassuring proposals to 22 come in and then a month later all that fizzled out. Very 23 rarely is there follow-through. It would be nice if it could 24 happen, this allows for that chance to happen. 25 MR. PHILLIPS: I know, I wish there was a member of

the Police, social service, elderly affairs, mental health,
Catholic Charities whatever, that would pick her up and drop
her off [inaudible]. Habitat for Humanity, Catholic
Charities or Broward Bar, at least --

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Habitat for Humanity would buy this property in a heartbeat, in a heartbeat, and probably for a lot more than what these guys are --

MR. HOLLAND: But these things aren't mutually exclusive --

MR. PHILLIPS: Someone has to pick her up.

MR. HOLLAND: -- and the City has discretion on the demolition. If something is presented that she's gone to the right place and making progress finally, and including legal aid and can demonstrate to the officers and the building officials that something's moving, then perhaps these other gentlemen, all these things can move in parallel and not be mutually exclusive.

But one thing we do have to have above all that is the safety of the community and if we need to, without any other resources may need to take the house. I hope not I believe there is a lot of equity there. Without resources to do the right thing to make it safe we're left with a tough decision as we often are.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

MS. HALE: I don't think that that's such a tough

1 decision if you cut off the electricity at the --2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: At the pole. MS. HALE: -- at the pole, and you board up those 3 4 doors that seem to be the problem how they're getting in 5 there until she can resolve some of her problems, that 6 building, I would have thought, was safe. 7 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 8 [People speaking over each other] 9 MS. HALE: I agree with you that there are other 10 options. 11 They continue to break in; there is MR. HOLLAND: 12 repeated break-ins. 13 MS. HALE: Well --14 MS. HASAN: Mr. Chair, just, if I could. And I 15 apologize for interrupting the Board's discussion but I've 16 been advised by the Building Official that the electricity 17 already has been cut off at the pole. 18 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay, so --19 MS. HALE: Oh. 20 MS. HASAN: But they're still running electrical 21 through other sources. 22 MR. HOLLAND: But, right. And drug users don't 23 always need all the comforts that electricity brings. 24 MR. PHILLIPS: They may show up with a generator or 25 two. Can we vote on this Mr. Chair?

1 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: As soon as, I just wanted to give 2 ample time for discussion. We've been on it for a very long 3 I made, I think everybody's had an opportunity to make 4 their position known. I'm going to ask madam clerk to do a roll call because I anticipate a split vote or least not a 5 6 unanimous vote. So if there's no further discussion I would 7 put it to madam clerk to call the roll. 8 MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Holland? 9 MR. HOLLAND: Yes. MS. HALE: Exactly what -- wait a minute -- could 10 11 we start again and would you just read how the motion --12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: She's not a stenographer so --13 MS. HALE: No. 14 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: The motion is for demolition in 15 thirty days. 16 MS. HALE: Alright, okay. 17 MR. JARRETT: Alright, can I have one question before the vote? 18 MR. PHILLIPS: Could we -- I've got to get going. 19 20 Otherwise I'm not going to vote. 21 MR. JARRETT: I would like to ask City Counsel --22 you have the leverage even though we make this ruling in 23 thirty days you have the leverage of something changes about this situation you can, it can take forty days if necessary. 24

If the police come up with something or someone steps in, you

1 can do that, correct? 2 MS. HASAN: Yes sir, well -- I have no authority 3 whatsoever but yes, the City has the authority, the Building 4 Official has that discretion. The City has that discretion, 5 and certainly my limited experience here is that we will work 6 with individuals if they're making progress. 7 MR. JARRETT: Okay. 8 MS. HASAN: Absolutely. 9 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you. 10 MR. JARRETT: Discretion can be used. 11 MS. HASAN: Yes sir. 12 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Madam clerk. 13 MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Holland? 1.4 MR. HOLLAND: Yes. 15 MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Crognale? 16 MR. CROGNALE: Yes. 17 MS. OPPERLEE: Ms. Hale? 18 MS. HALE: No. 19 MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Jarrett? 20 MR. JARRETT: Yes. 21 MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Larson? 22 MR. LARSON: No. 23 MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Phillips? 24 MR. PHILLIPS: No.

MS. OPPERLEE: Chair Weymouth?

1 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No. Motion does not carry. 2 there somebody else that would like to make another motion? MR. JARRETT: I'll make a motion. 3 4 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay. 5 MR. JARRETT: I move that we find the violations exist as alleged, and that we grant the respondent sixty days 6 7 to bring the property into compliance. Let's see if this 8 motion works. 9 MR. LARSON: Change that to thirty days and I'll 10 vote for it. 11 MR. JARRETT: Well, isn't that what --12 [People speaking over each other] 13 MS. HALE: No, we demolish. 14 MR. LARSON: Oh yes, I don't want to demolish. 15 MS. HALE: No. 16 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: What I would suggest is maybe read 17 the motion again and I would suggest --18 MR. JARRETT: Well, I withdraw the motion because I 19 don't think it's going to pass, so I withdraw the motion. 20 MS. HALE: No, try it again. 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No, give it a try, you don't know. 22 But I would use as a specific next meeting date or the 23 meeting date two months from now instead of sixty days or 24 thirty days. 25 MR. JARRETT: Okay, okay, thirty days then, okay.

We'll try this. 1 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I would say by September 18. Ιf 3 you're trying to do a thirty-day motion, it's not quite 4 thirty days so. MR. JARRETT: Okay. 5 MR. LARSON: We still going to demolish? 6 7 MS. HALE: No. 8 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: He's giving her an extension of 9 time. 10 MR. JARRETT: Finding the violations. I'm giving --11 I missed part of the first motion MR. LARSON: 12 that's why I asked. Can you repeat it please? 13 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: That's what we're asking him to 14 do. 15 MR. JARRETT: Okay. I move that the violations 16 exist, that the City has presented, but we give the 1.7 respondent thirty days, is that what everybody I think is 18 asking for, an extension? 19 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Make the motion and we'll see what 20 happens. 21 MR. JARRETT: Okay, with a thirty-days extension to 22 do this. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, so we have a motion for a 24 thirty-day extension. Is there a second?

MR. LARSON: I'll second that.

```
1
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: We have a motion and a second.
 2
    there any more discussion?
              MR. PHILLIPS: Thirty days' extension to do what?
 3
 4
              MR. JARRETT: For them to address the violations.
              [People speaking over each other]
 5
 6
              MR. LARSON: She comes back in thirty days.
                                                           That's
 7
    all.
 8
              MR. CROGNALE: Makes a total how many days?
 9
             MR. JARRETT: She'll come back in thirty days.
10
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: To address the violations.
11
              MR. JARRETT: I'm not calling for the demolition.
12
    I'm just saying that we --
13
              MR. PHILLIPS: Well, I quess we're at -- I could
14
    suggest we continue the matter for thirty days.
15
                            Well, that's what I'm doing.
              MR. JARRETT:
16
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH:
                               Well --
17
              MS. HALE:
                        It's a continuance.
18
              MR. HOLLAND: But it's, with the violations.
19
              [People speaking over each other]
20
              MS. HASAN: But, right, he's -- the hybrid. He's
21
    acknowledging violations, which you didn't want to do, but
22
    allowing for a continuance.
23
              MR. JARRETT: But we're not calling for demolition.
24
              MS. HALE:
                        No.
25
              MR. JARRETT: We're just finding the violations
```

exist and we're asking her to come back in thirty days. 1 2 MR. LARSON: That's right. 3 MR. PHILLIPS: I just want --4 MR. JARRETT: With counsel, hopefully. 5 MR. PHILLIPS: You know what? I can agree the 6 violations exist but I don't agree they exist to the extent 7 that they require demolition. 8 MS. HALE: No. 9 MR. JARRETT: We're not, I'm not calling for 10 demolition. 11 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: They'll be back at the next 12 meeting. 13 MR. PHILLIPS: I'd like to second that motion. 14 MR. PHILLIPS: Or I'll third. 15 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright. We have a motion, we 16 have a second. Is there any further discussion? The only 17 discussion I would like -- and now, I'm sorry. 18 MS. PRYOR: Mr. Chair, sorry. It's actually 19 twenty-eight days to the next hearing not thirty. 20 MR. JARRETT: I'll amend it to twenty-eight days. 21 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: I ask -- now I'm going to go off 22 topic -- but I would ask Detective, since you have a way to 23 reach Ms. Kerr, to let her know that the world is not 24 crashing down and if you would make an attempt to help her 25 get in and get some personal belongings but explain to her

```
1
    that's the last time she's walking into the house until at
 2
    least September 18 when we rehear this, but --
 3
              DETECTIVE MANIATES: I will take care of that.
 4
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Thank you. All right so we have a
 5
   motion, we have a second. Just to maintain what we were
 6
    doing, will you call roll? We may have unanimous but just,
 7
    could do a roll call.
 8
              MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Holland?
 9
              MR. HOLLAND: Yes.
10
              MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Crognale?
11
              MR. CROGNALE: Yes.
12
              MS. OPPERLEE: Ms. Hale?
13
              MS. HALE: Yes.
14
              MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Jarett?
15
              MR. JARRETT: Yes.
16
              MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Larson?
17
              MR. LARSON: Yes.
18
              MS. OPPERLEE: Mr. Phillips?
19
              MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
20
              MS. OPPERLEE: Chair Weymouth?
21
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH:
                               Yes.
22
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright, so motion carries.
23
              MS. HALE: You alright with that?
24
              DETECTIVE MANIATES: I'm fine with that.
25
              CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Well no, I --
```

25

minute break?

1 MS. PRYOR: Yes we may. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: And, Mr. Phillips, you have to leave, correct? 3 4 MS. HALE: Yes, I think he is. 5 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: We'll take a five-minute break. 6 We'll reconvene at five twenty-five. 7 [At 5:20, Mr. Phillips left the meeting and the 8 Board took a brief break.] 9 10 Case: CE14060446 INDEX 11 SAUTERNES V LLC 12 1213 W LAS OLAS BLVD 13 MS. PRYOR: Page two on your agenda, page two. 14 Case number CE14060446, Inspector George Oliva, case address 15 1213 West Las Olas Boulevard, the owner Sauternes V LLC. 16 Notice of violations posted on the property 7/25/14, advertised in the Daily Business Review 8/1/14, 17 18 8/8/14. Certified mail notices on your agenda. 19 INSPECTOR OLIVA: George Oliva, Building Inspector 20 for the City. I'm presenting case number CE14060446 on 21 today's agenda page one. This case was opened on July, on 22 June 6, 2014 by me. The following pictures were taken by me 23 on June 6 and July 25 and I would like to submit them into 24 the records.

[Inspector Oliva displayed photos of the property.

This roof on this property, that you can see the pictures from the inside, it's been vacant for a while. We've had numerous code cases and complaint from the neighbors. The windows are open. That's the back, or the rear of the property where you can see the way it's deteriorating.

This is a wood framing, most of the wood has already rotted, giving away. There roof has a big gap already on it which it give in. That's one part of the roof in the rear bedroom and you're going to see the one on the front coming up.

That's another two openings on the other, west side of the property where the roof already give in. It's completely open. That's the biggest one that's on the east side of the property facing the street and we already got plants growing out of it. And that's another view.

Like I said this is a wood frame built back in the 20s and the City's asking for the Board to find that this property's unsafe and to order the owner of the building to demolish the unsafe property in the next thirty days and before it came today to the Board I spoke with the property owner and he say let the City demolish.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: He said what?

INSPECTOR OLIVA: Let the City demolish.

CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Okay.

1 MS. PRYOR: Well, there you go. 2 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Is there a respondent here? 3 MS. PRYOR: No sir. 4 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: No respondent? I'm assuming --5 are there any questions for the Inspector? Is there anybody 6 wants to meet a motion? 7 MS. HALE: Sure. CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Ms. Hale. 8 9 MS. HALE: I move that we find violations exist as 10 alleged and we order the property owner to demolish the 11 structure within thirty days and we order the City to 12 demolish the structure should the property owner fail to 13 timely demolish. Such demolition is to be accomplished by a 14 licensed demolition contractor pursuant to a City issued 15 demolition permit. 16 MR. LARSON: Second. 17 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Alright. You're quick. 18 further discussion? 19 MS. HALE: I'm sorry, Bruce isn't here. 20 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Hearing none, all in favor say 21 aye. 22 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 23 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Any opposed? Motion carries 24 unanimously.

1 5. Case: CE14061367 INDEX 2 FAR EAST YARD PARTNERS LLC 3 1515 SW 20 ST Withdrawn. 4 5 6 Other Items and Announcements INDEX 7 None. 8 9 COMMUNICATION TO THE CITY COMMISSION 10 CHAIR WEYMOUTH: Anything for the City Commission 11 even though we were fortunate enough to have a Commissioner 12 be with us most of the day? Any message to the Commission? Seeing none, this meeting is adjourned unless you have 13 further business. 14 15 INDEX 16 FOR THE GOOD OF THE CITY 17 No discussion. 18 [Meeting concluded at 5:29 p.m.] 19 20 21 BOARD CLERK 22 MICHAEL WEYMOUTH, 23 24 [Minutes prepared by: J. Opperlee, Prototype, Inc.] 25

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I have recorded and transcribed the City of Fort Lauderdale Unsafe Structures Board meeting held August 21, 2014, at 3:00 p.m., City Hall, 100 North Andrews Avenue, 1st Floor Commission Chambers, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

Dated at Ft. Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida, this 18th day of September, 2014.

PROTOTYPE INC.

Recording Clerk

SWORN TO and SUBSCRIBED before me by JAMIE OPPERLEE who is personally known to me and who signed the foregoing for the purposes therein expressed.

DATED this 1844 day of September, 2014.



D.J. GROSSFELD MY COMMISSION # EE 065058 EXPIRES: April 26, 2015 Bonded Thru Budget Notary Services

NOTARY PUBLI

State of Florida